

**Research Article** 

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL IN PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES



Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com

## Development And Validation Of UV-HPLC Method for the Estimation Of Diacerein In Bulk And Tablet Dosage Form

## Amol Amrutkar<sup>\*1</sup>, Smita Aher<sup>2</sup>, Rishikesh Bachhav<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical quality Assurance, R. G, Sapkal College of Pharmacy, Anjaneri, Nashik-422212 <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, R. G, Sapkal College of Pharmacy, Anjaneri, Nashik-422212 <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, R. G, Sapkal College of Pharmacy, Anjaneri, Nashik-422212

#### ARTICLE INFO

Received: 19 Jan. 2023 Revised: 28 Jan. 2023 Accepted: 16 Feb. 2023 Published: 19 Feb. 2023 Keywords: UV Spectrophotometric Method, HPLC Method, Diacerein DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6220056

#### ABSTRACT

Objective: Objective of the present analytical research work was to develop and validate Spectrophotometric method and High Performance Liquid Chromatographic method (HPLC Method) for the Diacerein bulk and tablet dosage form Methods: A spectrophotometric method and a HPLC method have been developed and validated for estimation of Diacerein in bulk. Method A (UV SPECTROMETRY Method): Methanol was used for the preparation of stock and working standard solutions of the drugs. 400-200nm UV range was used to scanned standard solutions of drugs using UV spectrophotometer. The  $\lambda_{max}$  of Diacerein was found to be 248 nm. Method B (HPLC Method): The HPLC Method for Diacerein was developed using Cosmosil C18 (4.6mm x 250mm, Particle size: 5µm), as stationary particle, isocratic mode. Methanol : Water (80:20v/v) pH3as mobile phase. Mobile phase was maintained at a flow rate of 1 ml/min and detection was carried out at 248 nm. Both the methods were validated in accordance with ICH guidelines Results: Diacerein was found to be linear in the concentration range of 5-25 µg/ml for spectrophotometric and HPLC method. Retention time was found to be 4.3 min for Diacerein. Interpretation and **Conclusion:** Results of validation study were found to be satisfactory. So, the methods

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Diacetylane (INN), also known as diacetylrain, is a slow-acting anthraquinone class used to treat joint disorders such as osteoarthritis (swelling and pain in joints). It works by inhibiting interleukin-1 beta. The latest Cochrane review in 2014 found that diacerein had a slight beneficial effect on pain.

can be successfully applied for the routine analysis of Diacerein.

**Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures**: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Amol Amrutkar

Address: Department of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance, R. G, Sapkal College of Pharmacy, Anjaneri, Nashik-422212 Email : amolamrutkar1996@gmail.com



#### Figure 1: Structure of Diacerein

By chemically Diacerein is (*S*)-2-(2-Oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)butanamide with molecular formula and weight of C19H12O8 and 368.297 g/mole respectively. This research strategy follows the ICH approval rules. This study aims to promote a rapid and compelling new technique for ensuring diacerein in the dough structure, as indicated by the ICH Q2 R1 rules.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Chemicals and Reagents

Analytically pure samples of Diacerein were kindly supplied by Invochem labratories, Water (HPLC Grade), Acetonitrile and MeOH (AR grade ) Merck specialities private limited, Mumbai

#### Instrument Used

Electronic Weighing Balance (Shimadzu AY-248), Ultrasonicator (Wenser pvt ltd PGB-100), Cellulose Acetate Filter, 0.45 µm (Nylon 66), HPLC System (Analytical Technologies),UV VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800)

#### 1. Spectrophotometric Method

## 1.1 Development of Spectrophotometric Method Selection of Solvent

Diacerein solution (1000  $\mu$ g / ml) was prepared with various solvents such as ACN, methanol and water. These solutions were scanned in the UV visible range (200 nm to 800 nm) to determine absorption intensity and absorption wavelength.

#### Preparation of Standard Stock Solution

Standard stock solution was prepared.

#### Selection of Wavelength Range

Of the stock solution, 0.1 ml of diacerein was transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask and the

volume was adjusted according to the label with MeOH to a concentration of 10  $\mu$ g / ml. The solution was scanned in the UV 200-400 nm range.



## Fig 2. UV spectra of Diacerein *Preparation for Calibration Curve*

Calibration curve were prepared and graph was plotted.

#### Analysis of Tablets

For commercial preparation analysis, twenty tablets were weighed, averaged, and ground to a fine powder. The exact weight of the powder, corresponding to 10 mg of diacerol, was transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask with 5 ml of methanol, shaken manually for 10 minutes, the volume adjusted to the same solvent and filtered through Whatman filter paper. The adsorption of the sample solution was at a wavelength of 248 nm.

## 1.2. Validation of Spectrophotometric Method Linearity and Range

The linearity of the analytical method for diacerein was determined by studying standard calibration curves. The range of the analytical method was decided from the interval between the upper and lower level of the calibration curves by plotting the logarithmic curve.

#### Accuracy

Accuracy of the method was assessed by standard addition method at three different concentration levels i.e. 50%, 100%, 150%. Standard concentration of 5,10 and 15 µg/ml was added into



10  $\mu$ g/ml of tablet concentration. The % recovery was then calculated by using formula

### % Recovery = A - B/C,

Where,

A = Total amount of drug estimated

B = Amount of drug found on pre analysed basis

C = Amount of Pure drug added

## Precision

The precision of an analytical method was studied by performing intermediate precision.

## Intra-day Precision

Intra-day precision was determined by analyzing the  $5,10,15 \mu g/ml$  of Diacerein for three times in the same day.

#### Inter-day Precision

Inter-day precision was determined by measuring the  $5,10,15 \mu g/ml$  of Diacerein for three consecutive days.

## *Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)*

Detection limit and quantitation limit were determined based on the standard deviation of y-intercepts of calibration curves and average slope of calibration curves.

 $LOD = 3.3 \times \frac{Standard \text{ deviation of intercept}}{Slope}$  $LOD = 10 \times \frac{Standard \text{ deviation of intercept}}{Standard \text{ deviation of intercept}}$ 

Slope

## Ruggedness

The robustness of the method was verified by two different analysts maintaining the same environmental and experimental conditions. An appropriate concentration of 15 and 25  $\mu$ g / ml diacerein was tested and the concentration determined. This procedure was repeated three times.

## 2. Chromatographic Method

# 2.1. Development of Chromatographic method Description

The sample of Diacerein was observed for its color and texture.

## Solubility

The sample of Diacerein was taken in test tubes and observed for solubility in various solvents like alcohol and water.

#### Selection of Mobile Phase

The selection was made on the basis of literature survey. After assessing the solubility of drug in different solvents as well on the basis of literature survey, Methanol and water were selected as a first choice.

## Selection of Analytical Wavelength

To investigate the appropriate wavelength for determination of Diacerein, the solution of the same in the MeOH were scanned separately by UV–Visible spectrophotometer in the range of 190-400 nm and the spectrum were recorded.

## Preparation of Mobile Phase

Mobile Phase A: AR grade Methanol (80%)

Mobile Phase B: AR grade water (20%)

All the solvents was degassed in sonicator for 15 min

#### **Preparation of Standard Stock Solution**

Standard stock solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of Diacerein in 10 ml methanol that gives concentration of 1000 g/ml of Diacerein and labeled as Standard stock Diacerein.

#### Preparation of Calibration Curve Analysis of tablets

Determine the diacerein content in conventional tablets; The twenty tablets were weighed, their average weight was determined and they were finely fed and transferred 10.0 mg of diacerein powder equivalent to a 10 ml volumetric flask containing 5 ml of methanol, sonicated for 30 min and diluted to 1000 ml with methanol. The resulting solution was filtered using a 0.22  $\mu$ m filter and 15  $\mu$ g / ml was injected into the system. The amount of diacerein was determined. The test procedure was repeated six times and calculated using the following equation.

$$Ct = Rt \times Cs / Rs$$

Where, Ct and Cs = Concentration of Sample and Standard Solution, respectively.

Rt and Rs = Peak Area for Sample and Standard Solution, respectively.

#### 2.2 Validation of HPLC Method Linearity

The linearity of the analytical method for diacerein was determined by studying standard calibration curves. The range of the analytical method was decided from the interval between the upper and lower level of the calibration curves by plotting the logarithmic curve.

## Accuracy

Accuracy of the method was assessed by standard addition method at three different concentration levels i.e. 50%, 100%, 150%. Standard concentration of 5,10, and 15  $\mu$ g/ml was added into 10  $\mu$ g/ml of tablet concentration. The % Recoveries was calculated by applying regression equation.

## Precision

The precision of an analytical method was studied by performing intermediate precision.

## Intra-day Precision

Intra-day precision was determined by analyzing the standard solutions of Diacerein (5,15,25  $\mu$ g/ml) and at three different time intervals on same day.

## Inter-day Precision

Inter-day precision was determined by analyzing the combined standard solution of Diacerein (5,15,25  $\mu$ g/ml) on three consecutive days. The results were reported in terms of % RSD.

## Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation

The limit of detection and the limit of quantification were determined as a function of the standard deviation of the intersections and of the calibration curves and the average slope of the calibration curves.

#### Robustness

Standard solution of Diacerein (15  $\mu$ g/ml)) were used and analyzed at different flow rate (0.7,0.8,0.9 ml/min) and wavelength (246,248,250 nm).

### Ruggedness

The robustness of the method was verified by two different analysts maintaining the same environmental and experimental conditions. An appropriate concentration of 15  $\mu$ g / ml diacerein was tested and the concentration determined. This procedure was repeated three times.

#### System Suitability

A diacerein standard solution (15  $\mu$ g / ml) was prepared and analyzed. Chromatograms for different parameters such as tailing factor, resolution and theoretical plates were studied to see whether or not they meet the recommended limit.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

## 1. UV-Visible Spectrophotometric Methods Linearity study

Standard solution having concentration range of

5-25 μg/ml of Diacerein was prepared. Absorbances of these solutions were recorded at 248 nm. Calibration curve was plotted,

absorbance vs concentration.



Fig 3. Calibration curve by UV



#### Amol Amrutkar, Int. J. in Pharm. Sci., 2023, Vol 1, Issue 2, 94-103 | Research

Sr. No.	Conc. (µg/mL)	Absorbance	
1	5	0.1289	
2	10	0.3026	
3	15	0.4469	
4	20	0.5879	
5	25	0.7395	
Table 2. linear regression analysis by UV			

Table 1. Data of calibration curve by UV

Sr.No	Parameters	Zero Order spectrophotometric method
1	λmax (nm)	248
2	Beer's law limit (µg/mL)	5-25
3	Regression equation[y]	y = 0.0301x - 0.0108
4	Slope[m]	0.0301
5	Intercept [c]	-0.0108
6	Correlation coefficient [r2]	0.9986
7	Limit of detection (LOD) (µg/mL)	0.0548
8	Limit of quantitation (LOQ) (µg/mL)	0.1661

#### Validation Parameters

Method validation was performed according to ICH guidelines. The precision of the method was determined at the 50%, 100% and 150% level by the standard addition method and the percent recovery of diacerein was found to be in the range

of 99.72-99.83%. The precision of the method was determined by the% RSD of intraday precision, interday precision. It was found to be less LOD and LOQ of diacerein was 0.0719 and 0.218  $\mu$ g / ml, respectively.

Level of addition	% Mean recovery*	SD	% RSD		
50%	99.72	1.3805	1.384342		
100%	99.72	0.8505	0.85296		
150%	99.83	0.2786	0.279023		

Table 4. Result of Accuracy study

Table 5A. Result of intraday precision

Sr. No.	Conc. (µg/mL)	Abs	Mean	SD	%RSD
1	5	0.1279			
2	5	0.1296	0.12873333	0.00085	0.66066
3	5	0.1287			
4	15	0.4463			
5	15	0.4458	0.44576667	0.001102	0.247106
6	15	0.4452			
7	25	0.7426			
8	25	0.7365	0.73733333	0.004903	0.665018
9	25	0.7329			

Sr. No.	Conc. (µg/mL)	Abs	Mean	SD	%RSD
1	5	0.1284			
2	5	0.1264	0.1274	0.001	0.78492936
3	5	0.1274			
4	15	0.4465			
5	15	0.4454	0.44603333	0.00056862	0.12748466
6	15	0.4462			
7	25	0.7402			
8	25	0.7394	0.73933333	0.00090185	0.12198151
9	25	0.7384			

Table 5B. Result of interday precision

#### Table 6A. result of robustness study

	Change In Wavelength(±2 nm)				
Parameters	Wavelength (246nm)		Wavelength (250nm)		
	15ppm	25ppm	15ppm	25ppm	
Mean(n=3)	0.4465	0.7394	0.4459	0.7386	
SD	0.0007	0.0006	0.0001	0.0016	
% RSD	0.1917	0.0988	0.4818	0.2634	

Table 6B. result of robustness study

Paramatars	Change In Solvent				
1 al allicici s	W٤	ater	<b>0.1</b> N I	NaOH	
	15ppm	25ppm	15ppm	25ppm	
Mean(n=3)	0.4465	0.7364	0.4487	0.7365	
SD	0.00079	0.0015	0.0006	0.0008	
% RSD	0.290	0.3614	0.2086	0.2091	

#### Table 7. result of ruggedness study

	Change In Analyst				
Parameters	Analyst I		Anal	yst II	
	15ppm	25ppm	15ppm	25ppm	
Mean(n=3)	0.4465	0.7368	0.4485	0.7402	
SD	0.0006	0.0008	0.0011	0.0006	
% RSD	0.2807	0.2076	0.5467	0.1460	

#### 2. Chromatographic Method Selection of Analytical Wavelength

Mobile phase diacerein standard solutions (10  $\mu g\,/$  ml) were scanned in the UV region of 190-400 nm

and overlapping spectra were recorded. Diacerein drugs were observed to show absorbance at 248 nm. So the detection wavelength used was 248 nm.



Mobile phase	Methanol : Water (80:20v/v) pH3		
Selection of	Cosmosil C18 (4.6mm x		
column	250mm, Particle size: 5µm)		
Injection volume	20 µL		
Flow rate	0.8 ml/min		
Column temperature	Room Temperature		
Detection wavelength	248nm		
<b>Retention time</b>	4.3 min		

 Table No. 8: Optimized Parameters



## Figure 4. Typical chromatograph of Diacerein by HPLC at Optimized condition

#### Linearity Study

Diacerein was found to be linear in the concentration range of 5-25  $\mu$ g/ml.



Fig 5. calibration curve by HPLC

Table 9. Result of calibration curve

Sr. No.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area
1	5	685547
2	10	1028449
3	15	1442605
4	20	1837217
5	25	2222926

Table 10. Linear regression analysis

Sr.No	Parameters	Values
1	λmax (nm)	248
2	Beer's law limit (µg/mL)	5-25
3	Regression equation[y]	y = 77671x +
4	Slope[m]	77671
5	Intercept [c]	278291
6	Correlation coefficient [r <sup>2</sup> ]	0.9993
7	Limit of detection (LOD) (µg/mL)	0.072
8	Limit of quantitation (LOQ) (µg/mL)	0.221

## Validation Parameters

This method was validated according to the ICH guidelines. The percentage of recoveries of diacerein was found in the range of 99.97 to 100.4%. The precision of the method was determined by the% RSD found between the intraday precision and the interday precision. The LOD and LOQ of diacerein were found to be 0.072 and 0.221  $\mu$ g / ml, respectively. For the robustness study, the effect of the change in wavelength and flow rate (± 0.1 ml / min) on the mean peak area,% RSD and% assay was studied. The percentage of RSD of each peak in all variables was found to be less than 2%.

#### Accuracy

Accuracy was studied by standard addition method and % recovery found was within acceptable limit.



Table 11. Result of Accuracy by 111 LC					
Level of addition	% Mean recovery*	SD	% RSD		
50%	100.3	0.5669	0.565153		
100%	100.4	0.512	0.509871		
150%	99.97	0.0339	0.033924		

Table 11. Result of Accuracy by HPLC

#### Precision

Intraday and interday precision assures the repeatability of test results. The % RSD found was below 2.

Sr. No.	Conc. (µg/mL)	Area	Mean	SD	%RSD
1	5	685547			
2	5	686027	686768.667	1717.151	0.250033
3	5	688732			
4	15	1442605			
5	15	1443950	1442417.67	3268.147	0.226574
6	15	1440698			
7	25	2222926			
8	25	2221738	2227928.67	9711.893	0.435916
9	25	2239122			

#### Table 12A. Result of intraday precision

#### Table 12B Result of Interday precision

Sr. No.	Conc. (µg/mL)	Area	Mean	SD	%RSD
1	5	685519			
2	5	689513	687394.667	2008.02747	0.29212148
3	5	687152			
4	15	1459633			
5	15	1445236	1447040.33	11794.4692	0.81507536
6	15	1436252			
7	25	2235980			
8	25	2221536	2234477	12258.7996	0.54862053
9	25	2245915			

#### Robustness

Robustness was studied by different deliberate variations in the chromatographic conditions.

 Table 13. Result of robustness study

Sr.No	Parameter	Condition	Area	Mean	SD	%RSD
1	Change in	0.7	1442605			
2	Flow rate	0.8	1443950	1442417.67	1634.07	0.11329
3	(ml/min)	0.9	1440698			
1	Change in	246	1459633			
2	Wavelength	248	1445236	1447040.33	11794.5	0.81508
3	(nm)	250	1436252			

#### Ruggedness

Ruggedness was studied by different analyst.



Sr.No	Analyst	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area	Mean area*	SD	% RSD
			1445600			
1	Analyst-I	30	1453656	1446497.333	6754.85	0.4669
			1440236			
			1462531			
2	Analyst-II	30	1442536	1445908.667	12218.91	1.0525
			1432659			
		0/ 1		1 0 1 1		

#### Table 14. Result of Ruggedness

#### % Assay of Marketed formulation

Formulation	Area of Standard	Area of degraded Sample	% Assay
Diawell 50	1442605	1440359	99.84

#### Specificity

Excipients and impurities were not interacting with the standard drug, hence method is specific. **Table No.23: Data for specificity study** 

Drug conc. (μg/ml)	Excipients (µg/ml)	Total conc. (μg/ml)	Area	Mean	SD	%RSD
5	10	15	684152			
5	10	15	683382	683396.667	748.107835	0.10946905
5	10	15	682656			
10	10	20	1015694			
10	10	20	1025595	1024601	8453.94151	0.82509596
10	10	20	1032514			
15	10	25	1459263			
15	10	25	1445600	1449270.33	8754.90242	0.60409036
15	10	25	1442948			

#### Table 15. Result of system Suitability

Sr. No.	conc. (µg/ml)	<b>Retention Time</b>	<b>Theoretical plates</b>	<b>Asymmetry Factor</b>
		(min)		
1	15	4.32	8487	1.25
2	15	4.31	8552	1.24
3	15	4.39	8462	1.25
4	15	4.28	8359	1.23
5	15	4.29	8252	1.24
6	15	4.35	8539	1.25
Mean		4.323333333	8441.83333	1.2433333
SD		0.040824829	115.691688	0.008165
%RSD		0.944290572	1.37045691	0.6566997

#### CONCLUSION

In the present investigation, the UV spectrophotometric method developed and validated turned out to be a simple, inexpensive and fast method. HPLC was found to be more accurate, precise, robust and robust for the

determination of diacerein. The excipients usually present in the pharmaceutical formulation did not interfere with the determination of diacerein. This method is also beneficial to the formulation and development department. These methods are



always useful for analysis, purity testing, and testing. Time and chemical consumption is less compared to other tedious methods. This is a new concept for method development validation and method transfer in pharmaceutical companies. The results and statistical parameters demonstrate that

#### REFERECES

- 1. ICH, Q2 (R1); Validation of Analytical Procedure: text and methodology. International Conference on Harmonization, Geneva, 2005; 1-13.
- Beckett AH and Stenlake JB. Practical Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Part-II, 4th Ed., New Dehli; CBS Publishers and Distributors, 2004; 85-174.
- 3. Willard HH, Merrist LL, Dean AJ, Frank A and Settle AF. Instrumental Methods of Analysis, 7th Ed., New Dehli; CBS Publishers and Distributors, 1986; 2-3, 585-587
- 4. Skoog DA, Holler FJ and Nieman TA. Principle of Instrumental Analysis, 5th Ed., Thomson Publication Limited, London, 2004; 103.
- 5. Sethi PD., High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Quantitative Analysis of Pharmaceutical Formulations, 1st edition, New Delhi; CBS Publishers and Distributors, 2001; 3-11, 116-120.
- Toomula N, Kumar A, Kumar S, Bheemidi VS. Development and Validation of Analytical Methods for Pharmaceuticals & Bioanalytical Techniques, 2011; 2(5): 1-4.
- Lakshmi SRP. A Review on Chromatography with High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and its Functions. Research and Reviews: Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis, March 2015; 4(1): 1-15.
- Swartz ME. HPLC: An introduction and review. J Liq Chromatogr Rel Technol. 2005;25:1253–63.

the proposed UV and HPLC spectrophotometric method is simple, fast, specific, accurate and precise.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

No conflict of interest.

- Giannellini V, Salvatore F, Bartolucci G, Coran S A, Bambagiotti-Alberti M. (2005) A validated HPLC stability-indicating method for the determination of diacerhein in bulk drug substance. J Pharm Biomed Anal 39: 776.
- Keddal G. Lalitha, Thangavel Venkatachalam, A Simple HPLC Method for Quantitation of Diacerein in Tablet Dosage Form, Eurasian J Anal Chem 2010;5(1):81– 88.
- 11. Deshpande M. M, Estimation of Diacerein by UV Spectrophotometry in Bulk and formulation,International Journal of Chemical and Analytical Science 2011,2(1),1-2
- N. Kannappan, Madhukar A, Analytical Method Development And Validation Of Diacerein Tablets By Rp-Hplc, Ijcrgg, Jan-Mar 2010, Vol.2, No.1, Pp 143-148.
- 13. Narendra Nyola, Govinda Samy Jeyabalan, Development and Validation of a RP-HPLC Method for Simultaneous Estimation of Diacerein and Aceclofenac in Pharmaceutical Dosage Form, RRJPA,Vol 1, Issue 1, Oct– Dec, 2012
- 14. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB11994
- 15. https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/ Diacerein

HOW TO CITE: Amol Amrutkar, Smita Aher, Rishikesh Bachhav, Development And Validation Of Uv-Hplc Method For The Estimation Of Diacerein In Bulk And Tablet Dosage Form, Int. J. in Pharm. Sci., 2023, Vol 1, Issue 2, 94-103. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6220056

