INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00]
Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com

OPEN ACCESS

Review Article

What Are Vaccines and Pharmacovigilance in Vaccine Safety

Shraddha Mgadum*, Sonal Kumbhar, Dr. Nilesh Chougule

Ashokrao Mane Institute of Pharmacy, Ambap, Kolhapur 416212, Maharashtra, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Published: 28 Nov. 2024
Keywords:
Pharmacovigilance, Vaccine safety , Adverse events , Monitoring systems.
DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.14233961

ABSTRACT

Pharmacovigilance is a critical element in ensuring the safety of vaccines, which are essential for precluding contagious conditions and promoting public health. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the methodologies and fabrics employed in the monitoring and reporting of vaccine safety. It explores colorful approaches, including reporting systems, active surveillance, and the integration of data with electronic health recods, crucial challenges faced in this field, data collection and analysis, and the necessity for standardized delineations of adverse events, are also bandied. likewise, the review emphasizes the vital part of nonsupervisory agencies, healthcare professionals, and community stakeholders in enhancing vaccine safety monitoring and fostering public trust in immunization programs. Findings indicate that a robust pharmacovigilance system is vital for the timely discovery and operation of vaccine-related adverse events. The review concludes by suggesting unborn directions, including the integration of advanced data analytics and real- time monitoring ways to optimize the effectiveness and effectiveness of vaccine safety surveillance. The ideal is to identify, totally estimate, and synthesize the stylish scientific substantiation available on the pointers used in pharmacovigilance systems.

INTRODUCTION

Pharmacovigilance is a preventative measure for ensuring that medications and vaccines are safe and effective. It involves tracking adverse events that occur after exposure to pharmaceutical products. [1].Vaccines are thought to be safer than medications since they give immunological agents to healthy people, whereas medications are intended for those with illnesses. However, side effects can occur with any medication. Although these occurrences can result in patient mortality, their severity varies. Assessing the safety of medications and vaccinations for the general public requires careful marketing monitoring. Given the population's genetic diversity and the existence of particular groups such as old individuals, children, pregnant women, and people with compromised immunity, negative reactions

***Corresponding Author:** Shraddha Mgadum
Address: *Ashokrao Mane Institute of Pharmacy, Ambap, Kolhapur 416212, Maharashtra, India*.
Email ✉: shraddhamagdum21@gmail.com
Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

may occur when the vaccination is introduced into society. It takes a strong system to cover these situations in order to guarantee the safety of these products. A thorough safety assessment was achieved through an extensive post-perpetration pharmacovigilance research that used VigiBase, a global database maintained by the World Health Organization (WHO), to review adverse event reports from over 130 countries. M. Lindquist. The WHO Global ICSR Database System Basic Data is accessible through VigiBase. Monitoring, identifying, evaluating, and averting adverse drug-related products are all part of pharmacovigilance. A number of instruments facilitate the collection, assaying, and reporting of adverse medication events in order to do this work effectively. [2]These tools include the following

(i) Systems for pharmacovigilance information Information about adverse medication occurrences can be gathered, stored, analyzed, and shared thanks to these technologies.

(ii) Pharmacovigilance databases are trustworthy resources for receiving information about adverse medication occurrences.

(iii) Shadowing operations for adverse events These resources support the tracking and observation of adverse medication occurrences.

(iv) Analysis of statistics Data gathered on adverse drug-related occurrences is estimated in this manner. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines pharmacovigilance as "the knowledge and effort relating to the identification, evaluation, comprehension, and prevention of adverse goods or any other drug-related problem."[3]Vaccines, defined as natural agents inspiring an vulnerable response to specific antigens from contagious pathogens, play a vital part in suppressing the spread of various conditions[4]The description of a vaccine involves an vulnerable-natural substance designed to produce specific protection against a given complaint. Adverse drug response(ADR) is a response to a drug that is dangerous and unintended that occurs at pilules generally used in humans for the prophylaxis, opinion, or treatment of complaint or for the modification of physiological functions[5] ADR has several negative goods, similar as medicine- related sanitarium admissions, further extended sanitarium stays, exigency department visits, and a advanced threat of mortality[6] It's believed that vaccines are safer than medicines because they administer immunobiological agents to healthy individualities, while medicines are used for individualities with conditions; still, adverse events can do in both scripts The inflexibility of these events is relative and can indeed lead to patient death[7]Although the methods used in controlled clinical trials are intended to determine the risks and safety of drug use, they do not accurately represent how the pharmaceuticals are really used in everyday life. Consequently, the pharmacovigilance (PV) system's postmarketing safety monitoring of medications is crucial and lasts the duration of the medications' retail life. Although voluntary as a point of patient care, the public PV system is based on the robotic reporting of suspected adverse medication responses (ADRs) by pharmaceutical firms and health care providers (HCPs). HCPs' professional responsibility, action, provocation, and donation form the basis of the robotic ADR reporting system. Regretfully, underreporting and compromise have affected it [8]. In actuality, underreporting is a worldwide phenomenon, albeit the degree may differ between nations with and without abundant resources. Only five to ten ADRs are thought to be recorded [9]. Pharmacovigilance involves monitoring, detecting, assessing, and precluding adverse medicine- related goods. To efficiently carry out this task, several tools aid in collecting, assaying, and reporting information on adverse medicine events[10]

- **Historical Context –**

Edward Jenner (1796):

Vaccines have had a major impact on human health and are essential for public health and disease prevention [11].

The creation of the smallpox vaccine by Edward Jenner in 1796 is where the history of vaccinations begins[12]. Modern vaccination began when Jenner's research on cowpox resulted in the creation of the first effective smallpox vaccine. The basis for vaccination was established by Jenner's findings of cowpox lesions, which showed immunity against smallpox. Following Jenner's development of a smallpox vaccine, the terms "vaccine" and "vaccinology" were coined; Jenner is frequently referred to as the "Father of Vaccinology"[13].19th Century Advancements Louis Pasteur(1880s) Pasteur developed vaccines for anthrax and rabies, laying the root for the origin proposition of complaint and the principles of vaccination. 20th Century inventions wide Vaccination The preface of vaccines for conditions like diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, and polio dramatically reduced mortality rates. Eradication of Smallpox(1980) The World Health Organization declared smallpox canceled , a significant achievement in public health through vaccination sweats. ultramodern Developments New Technologies The late 20th and early 21st centuries saw the emergence of recombinant DNA technology, leading to vaccines like hepatitis B and latterly, mRNA vaccines for COVID- 19.

- **Vaccines For Use At Birth**

The three vaccinations that are now approved for immunization at birth worldwide are OPV, BCG, and HBV. The only one that is administered with a first cure at birth is the HBV vaccine. These were initially created for and tested on older individuals, and they were finally estimated in babies, as is the case. Safety and efficacy were shown in clinical trials that examined an accelerated vaccination schedule for these vaccines, including neonatal "birth" boluses. This was often indicated by the production of antigen-specific antibodies, a surrogate sign of protection.

1. The vaccination for hepatitis B 2. Guérin Bacille Calmette 3. Vaccination against polio Vaccine against hepatitis B HBV is the only vaccine currently advised to be given during the first 28 days of birth because the prevalence of tuberculosis in some areas are so low that BCG is not recommended for infants and polio vaccination is given as IPV starting at 2 months of age [14].

HBV vaccine:

The hepatitis B facial antigen (HBsAg), a protein that creates viral-like nanoparticles, is expressed using recombinant DNA technology in the HBV vaccine, which has been on the market since 1982. The mechanism of action of alum, a chemical emulsion that contains aluminum mariners, is still being debated.[15]

Is added as adjuvant a three -dose series of HBV starting at birth is safe and effective [16]

Bacille Calmette-Guérin

BCG is the most widely used vaccine in the world, having been given to over 3 billion people [17].

BCG is a live, snap-dried Mycobacterium bovis vaccination that has a single cure. The BCG vaccine is naturally "tone-adjuvanted" rather than containing any exogenous adjuvant because Mycobacteria trigger susceptible reactions through transmembrane risk-like receptors (TLRs), such as TLR-2, -4, and -8 [18].

Remarkably, BCG can trigger Th1-polarizing immune responses at birth, despite the fact that newborns usually exhibit compromised T helper 1 (Th1) immunity to a variety of stimuli[19]. According to estimates, BCG helps about 30,000 instances of TB meningitis and military complaints throughout the first five years of life and has a favorable safety profile [20].Notably, the administration of BCG to a baby seems to have a positive impact on survival that is not only attributable to protection against tuberculosis,

suggesting that this reduced vaccination may have positive vulnerable-enhancing effects [21].

Oral polio vaccine

At two months of age, polio vaccination starts with an IPV cure. In contrast, the Sabin OPV, which consists of live-downgraded poliovirus Sabin strains 1, 2, and 3, is given at birth as a single treatment to treat poliomyelitis and encourage herd immunity in nations where the disease has not yet been eradicated.[22] OPV does produce protective antibodies in neonates, despite the fact that proliferative recall responses and T cell IFN-γ responses to OPV are restricted following birth vaccination [23].Notably, OPV contains single-stranded RNA, a class of molecules that can activate human cells via TLR8 [24], but no extrinsic adjuvant is added.

- **Vaccines Tested At Infancy**

Numerous studies have calculated vaccines in babies because researchers believe that immunizing newborns is a feasible way to lower the global burden of infection. Then, numerous significant examples are emphasized. 1. The pertussis 2. The pneumococcal 3. Rotavirus 4. Intradermal fractional IPV 5. HIV The etiologic agent of whooping cough, pertussis, continues to kill hundreds of thousands of infants worldwide. It was the cause of a recent outbreak in California that killed many infants, the majority of whom were under two months old when their symptoms first appeared.[25]Research on neonatal vaccination against this virus has been spurred by the infection's unique inflexibility in young infants. Research on newborn pertussis vaccination, which dates back to the 1940s, shows that it is safe to receive at birth, while its effectiveness varies [26].Immunization at 24 hours of birth, using a whole-cell vaccine, resulted in modest serum titers. In just 60 babies, a series that began at 1 week, continued at 5 and 9 weeks, and ended with a supporter at 6 to 12 months, resulted in defensive pertussis agglutinin situations. The effectiveness of vaccination, which began at three weeks of age, may have been due to the age-dependent development of antigen-presenting cell and lymphocyte activity. Pneumococcus. The seven-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, known as PCV7, adjuvanted with alum and composed of pneumococcal polysaccharides coupled to the CRM197 carrier protein (a nontoxic variant of diphtheria poison insulated from societies of Corynebacterium diphtheriae strain C7(β197)), was estimated to be effective in immunizing newborns in a Papua New Guinean trial [27].PCV7 was immunogenic at birth, but at 4 months of age, it was linked to significantly decreased antibody titers to several serogroups. In vitro Th2 polarization of TLR-intermediate cytokine responses was lower in infants who had been exposed to PCV7 at birth, which may indicate an impact on posterior susceptible system polarization. Rotavirus Around the world, rotavirus kills hundreds of thousands of children. An immunoglobulin A (IgA) sero-reaction that was lower than that of the 2/ 4/6 months group but still deemed respectable was linked to an immunization schedule that was started in the neonatal period (between 2 and 7 days of age) as a 0/ 2/4 or 0/ 2/6 months schedule with live oral rhesus- mortal reassortant rotavirus tetravalent vaccine.[28]The withdrawal of this vaccine and subsequent relief with various downgraded or mortal-bovine reassortant rotavirus vaccines resulted from vaccination schedules started in babies being linked to a primarily lower frequency of febrile responses (0 versus 18) and a potential decrease in the slight risk of intussusception.[29]

Fractional intradermal IPV

A recent trial conducted in Cuba assessed a lower dosage of IPV given at birth using an intradermal device that does not require a needle [30]. This strategy has a lot of promise to improve efficacy and safety. Suboptimal median polio antibody titers, particularly in the fractional-dose

arm, demonstrated the inadequacy of the outcome. Intradermal vaccination, a potentially significant tactic to direct immune responses to draining lymph nodes, is still in its early stages of development.

HIV

There is a significant chance that this strategy will improve safety and effectiveness. As seen by low median polio antibody titers, particularly in the fractional-cure arm, the outcome was timid. In the early stages of development, intradermal vaccination is a potentially significant tactic to target susceptible reactions to draining lymph lumps. Infected women's babies were given HIV vaccine formulations that contained recombinant gp120, which was derived from HIV-1 and adjuvanted with either alum or mf59, an oil painting-in-water mixture of 0.5 polysorbate 80, 0.5 sorbitan trioleate, and 0.5 squalene [31]. Infants received the vaccine at 0, 1, 3, and 5 months. According to reports, the vaccinations were well-tolerated and safe [32]. According to in vitro lymphoproliferative responses to HIV antigens in more than half of the vaccinated infants, two recombinant gp120 vaccinations were immunogenic. Similar findings raise the possibility of attempting to prevent HIV transmission from mother to child by administering the vaccine shortly after perinatal exposure, which is similar to postexposure prophylaxis by using measles, varicella, or hepatitis vaccines. However, significant work remains to be done in defining safe and effective HIV vaccines, including those that may be targeted to babe.

- **Disease And Preventive Vaccines –**

Vaccines are one of the most effective public health tools available, designed to help conditions by stimulating the vulnerable system. Understanding the relationship between specific conditions and their corresponding vaccines is pivotal for appreciating their impact on global health. Below is a detailed disquisition of notable conditions and the vaccines developed to help them. 1. Smallpox Smallpox, caused by the variola contagion, was a largely contagious and deadly complaint characterized by fever and a distinctive skin rash. The smallpox vaccine, developed by Edward Jenner in 1796, uses a affiliated contagion(vaccinia) to induce impunity. This was the first successful vaccine and led to the complete eradication of smallpox in 1980. The smallpox vaccine works by exposing the vulnerable system to a inoffensive interpretation of the contagion, allowing it to fete and combat the factual contagion if encountered in the future. 2. Polio Poliomyelitis, caused by the poliovirus, can lead to palsy and, in severe cases, death. The contagion spreads through defiled food and water. Inactivated Polio Vaccine(IPV) Developed by Jonas Salk in the 1950s, this vaccine uses killed contagion to induce impunity. Oral Polio Vaccine(OPV) Developed by Albert Sabin, this live downgraded vaccine is taken orally and stimulates strong intestinal impunity. Both vaccines prepare the vulnerable system to fight off poliovirus, significantly reducing the prevalence of the complaint worldwide. The IPV is especially important in countries with robust healthcare systems, while OPV has been necessary in mass immunization juggernauts. 3. Measles Measles is a largely contagious viral infection characterized by fever, cough, and a distinctive rash. It can lead to severe complications, including pneumonia and encephalitis. The measles vaccine is generally administered in combination with mumps and rubella(MMR vaccine). It uses a live downgraded contagion to stimulate an vulnerable response. By introducing a weakened form of the contagion, the MMR vaccine allows the vulnerable system to make defenses, therefore precluding unborn infections. High vaccination content is critical for herd impunity, guarding those who can not be vaccinated. 4. Hepatitis B-

Hepatitis B is a viral infection that attacks the liver, potentially leading to habitual complaint, liver cirrhosis, and liver cancer. It spreads through contact with contagious body fluids. The hepatitis B vaccine contains recombinant DNA technology to produce a inoffensive part of the contagion(HBsAg), which induces impunity. By exposing the vulnerable system to anon-infectious element of the contagion, the vaccine trains it to fete and combat the factual contagion, effectively precluding the complaint[33].

Vaccines	Antigen
M. indicus pranii	M. indicus pranii
Dar-901	Dar-901
MVA85A/AERAS-485	Rv3804 (Ag85A)
Crucell Ad35/AERAS-402	Rv3804 (Ag85A), Rv1886 (Ag85B), Rv0288 (TB10.4)
Ad5Ag85A	Ad5Ag85A
Dar-901	M. vaccae
VPM1002	BCG
RUTI	M. tuberculosis
M. vaccae	M. vaccae
MTBVAC	M. tuberculosis

Tuberculosis

About one-third of people are infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which is one of the world's most common infectious causes of death [34]While some people are protected against tuberculosis sickness and mortality by immunization with Mycobacterium bovis bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG), its effectiveness is mediocre and obviously insufficient for disease control [35].

Adverse Drug Reaction Of Tb Vaccine (Bcg) :

Original adverse responses include lymphadenitis(substantially involving ipsilateral axillary bumps like in our series, infrequently supraclavicular, nuchal, or cervical), abscesses, ulceration, and patient injection- point responses[36].

Pain at the injection point or blown lymph bumps may occur.however, tell your health care professional instantly, if any of these goods last or get worse. A small red bump may do at the injection point. This bump will latterly cake and fall off 6 to 10 weeks latterly. A small, flat scar may remain[37]

2.COVID 19:

The severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2) is the cause of COVID-19 infection [38]. COVID-19 had a significant global influence, affecting over 150 countries. Consequently, this illness was deemed a worldwide pandemic by the WHO[39]. One easy, secure, and efficient method of preventing dangerous infections is vaccination. The administration of the vaccination boosts the immune system and builds resistance to the particular virus by utilizing the body's natural defenses [40]. In India, the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO) has approved two vaccines: Covaxin and Covishield [41].

Adverse Drug Reaction Of Covid Vaccine:

Fever, headache, weakness, body aches, and injection site discomfort are adverse drug reactions (ADRs) associated with the Covishield vaccine. Fevers, headaches, nausea, vomiting, injection site pain, weakness, sleepiness, insomnia, chills, colds, coughing, diarrhea, allergies, dysuria, giddiness, chest pain, appetite loss, throat and neck pain, upset stomach, and upset eyes.

Non Communicable Diseases –

- Non-communicable conditions(NCDs) are medical conditions that are n't caused by contagious agents and are generally habitual in nature. These conditions include conditions similar as cardiovascular conditions, cancers, habitual respiratory conditions, and diabetes. While vaccines are primarily associated with precluding contagious conditions, some vaccines have been developed to help specific NCDs or reduce their threat factors. 1. Cancer • mortal Papillomavirus(HPV) Vaccine Gardasil, Cervarix Protects against HPV strains responsible for cervical cancer and other cancers(e.g., anal, oropharyngeal). Vaccination can significantly reduce the prevalence of these cancers. 2. Cardiovascular conditions While there are no vaccines specifically targeting cardiovascular conditions, exploration is ongoing into the part of vaccines in addressing threat factors like inflammation. • Influenza Vaccine Seasonal flu vaccination has been associated with reduced cardiovascular events in high-threat populations, suggesting a implicit defensive effect. 3. habitual Respiratory conditions • Pneumococcal Vaccine o Vaccine Pneumococcal conjugate and polysaccharide vaccines While primarily aimed at precluding pneumonia, these vaccines can help cover individualities with habitual respiratory conditions, reducing complications and hospitalizations. 4. Type 2 Diabetes • Influenza VaccinE There's substantiation suggesting that flu vaccination may reduce the threat of developing type 2 diabetes by lowering systemic inflammation[42].
- **Pandemic Diseases and Vaccines**

Epidemic conditions are wide outbreaks of contagious conditions that affect large populations across multiple countries or mainlands. Vaccines are a pivotal tool in controlling afflictions, helping to help illness, reduce transmission, and save lives. Then's an overview of notable epidemic conditions and the vaccines developed to combat them COVID- 19 • Pathogen SARS- CoV- 2 • Vaccines mRNA vaccines(Pfizer- BioNTech, Moderna), viral vector vaccines(Johnson & Johnson, AstraZeneca), and others. Rapid vaccine development and deployment have been critical in controlling the COVID- 19 epidemic, reducing severe illness, hospitalizations, and deaths. 5. Zika Virus • Pathogen Zika contagion • Vaccine Several campaigners are in development, but no vaccine is yet extensively available. Zika contagion can beget birth blights in babies born to infected maters. Vaccination sweats could alleviate unborn outbreaks. 6. Ebola Virus Disease • Pathogen Ebola contagion • Vaccine rVSV- ZEBOV (Ervebo) Approved in 2019, this vaccine has been used during outbreaks in Africa, showing effectiveness in controlling transmission. 7. SARS and MERS • Pathogens Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (SARS- CoV) and Middle East Respiratory Pattern Coronavirus (MERS- CoV) • Vaccines Research is ongoing for both conditions, with colorful campaigners in development. Though not epidemic- position pitfalls like COVID- 19, effective vaccines could help control unborn outbreaks [43]

- **Procudure For The Phamacivigilancde Studies Of Vaccine-**

1. **Planning and Designing Pharmacovigilance for Vaccines**

Effective pharmacovigilance (PV) for vaccines requires careful planning and design to ensure the safety and efficacy of immunization programs.

Determine the primary goals, such as detecting, assessing, and minimizing adverse events following immunization (AEFIs).: Establish goals related to identifying potential risks and developing strategies to mitigate them. : Involve

regulatory authorities, healthcare providers, vaccine manufacturers, and patient advocacy groups.Foster collaboration among stakeholders to enhance data sharing and communication.: Choose appropriate study designs (e.g., cohort studies, case-control studies) based on the objectives. Identify primary and secondary data sources, including spontaneous reporting systems, electronic health records, and clinical trial data. Implement spontaneous reporting systems for healthcare professionals and the public to report AEFIs. Plan for active monitoring, such as follow-up surveys or regular health assessments. Ensure integration of various data sources for comprehensive analysis. Create a secure and user-friendly database for collecting and managing AEFI data. Use standardized coding systems (e.g., MedDRA, WHO-ART) for consistent reporting and analysis. Define statistical techniques for signal detection, such as disproportionality analysis. Develop criteria for evaluating the causal relationship between vaccines and reported AEFIs. Establish clear criteria for evaluating the severity and significance of identified risks. : Plan for ongoing assessments comparing vaccine benefits against identified risks. Develop protocols for sharing findings among stakeholders and regulatory bodies.: Create a strategy for informing the public and healthcare providers about safety updates and risk information. Develop training modules for healthcare professionals on AEFI reporting and pharmacovigilance practices. Foster awareness among the public regarding the importance of reporting AEFIs and vaccine safety [44].

2.3 Monitoring and Evaluation

Establish key performance indicators (KPIs) to evaluate the effectiveness of the pharmacovigilance system.: Implement mechanisms for regular review and adaptation of the pharmacovigilance plan based on new data and feedback [45].

3.Data Analysis for Pharmacovigilance of Vaccines

Data analysis in pharmacovigilance (PV) for vaccines is essential for evaluating safety, identifying adverse events following immunization (AEFIs), and ensuring public health. Ensure accuracy and completeness of collected data by checking for duplicates, missing values, and inconsistencies. Use standardized formats and coding systems (e.g., MedDRA) for adverse events to facilitate comparison and analysis. Calculate basic statistics (e.g., frequency, percentage) of reported AEFIs, demographics of vaccinated populations, and vaccination coverage rates. Use charts, graphs, and tables to present descriptive data, making it easier to identify trends and patterns.

Bayesian Methods: Employ Bayesian data mining techniques to detect safety signals in spontaneous reporting databases.

Causality Assessment

Apply established frameworks (e.g., WHO-UMC criteria, Naranjo algorithm) to assess the likelihood of a causal relationship between the vaccine and reported AEFIs. Involve clinical experts to review cases and provide insights on causality assessments [46].

4. Risk Assessment

Calculate the incidence rate of specific AEFIs in vaccinated populations compared to unvaccinated or general populations. Evaluate the benefits of vaccination (e.g., disease prevention) against identified risks using quantitative models [47].

Cohort Studies: Compare vaccinated and unvaccinated groups in cohort studies to monitor health outcomes over time. Examine environmental elements that could affect the safety of vaccines. Create recurring reports that provide an overview of the results, highlighting any emerging trends or safety indicators. A cohort study is a specific type of longitudinal research that samples a cohort—a group of

individuals who have a common trait, usually those who went through a shared event during a chosen period, such graduation or birth—by conducting a cross-section at different points in time. It's a kind of panel study in which all of the participants have something in common. Cohort studies represent one of the fundamental designs of epidemiology which are used in research in the fields of medicine, pharmacy, nursing, psychology, soci al science, and in any field reliant on 'difficult to reach' answers that are based on evidence (statistics). In medicine for instance, while clinical trials are used primarily for assessing the safety of newly developed pharmaceuticals before they are approved for sale, epidemiological analysis on how risk factors affect the incidence of diseases is often used to identify the causes of diseases in the first place, and to help provide pre-clinical justification for the plausibility of protective factors (treatments)[48].

5. Continuous Monitoring and Feedback

- **Ongoing Data Analysis**: Establish mechanisms for continuous data analysis to promptly detect new signals as more data becomes available.
- **Feedback Loop**: Incorporate findings into vaccination practices and public health recommendations, enhancing the overall pharmacovigilance system [49]

6.Risk Benefit Analysis - Risk-benefit analysis (RBA) is a systematic approach to evaluate the advantages of vaccination against potential risks, particularly adverse events following immunization (AEFIs). In the context of pharmacovigilance for vaccines, this analysis helps inform decision-making for public health policies and vaccine recommendations. Here's a comprehensive overview of how to conduct risk-benefit analysis in this setting:[50]

Identify Risks

- **Adverse Events**: Compile a list of potential AEFIs based on historical data, clinical trials, and post-marketing surveillance.
- **Severity and Frequency**: Categorize risks by severity (e.g., mild, moderate, severe) and frequency (e.g., rare, common) to assess their impact on the vaccinated population.

Assess Benefits

- **Vaccine Efficacy**: Evaluate the effectiveness of the vaccine in preventing the targeted disease, including reductions in morbidity and mortality rates.
- **Public Health Impact**: Consider the broader implications, such as herd immunity,
- **5. Benefit-Risk Ratio**

Calculation: Determine a benefit-risk ratio, which quantifies the balance between the expected benefits and the potential risks. A higher ratio indicates a favorable balance.

Threshold Values: Establish threshold values that indicate acceptable levels of risk in relation to benefits, guiding public health decisions.

Communication of Findings

- **Stakeholder Engagement**: Present findings to stakeholders, including healthcare professionals, regulatory bodies, and the public, in an understandable manner.
- **Transparent Reporting**: Provide clear explanations of the methods, assumptions, and conclusions drawn from the analysis.

Continuous Monitoring

- **Ongoing Data Collection**: Implement systems for continuous monitoring of vaccine safety and efficacy to update risk-benefit assessments as new data becomes available.
- **Feedback Mechanisms**: Use findings from ongoing analyses to refine vaccination strategies and recommendations.

Decision-Making Framework

- **Policy Guidance**: Utilize the results of the risk-benefit analysis to inform vaccination policies, including recommendations for

specific populations or adjustments in vaccination schedules.

Public Health Campaigns: Support communication strategies that emphasize the benefits of vaccination while acknowledging potential risks.

Regulatory Compliance - Regulatory compliance in pharmacovigilance (PV) for vaccines is crucial to ensure safety, efficacy, and public trust. Adhering to regulatory requirements helps maintain high standards for vaccine monitoring and reporting. Here are key aspects of regulatory compliance for vaccine pharmacovigilance:[51]

- **Understanding Regulatory Frameworks**
- **International Guidelines**: Familiarize yourself with guidelines from organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), International Council for Harmonisation (ICH), and the European Medicines Agency (EMA).
- **National Regulations**: Be aware of local regulatory requirements and laws governing vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance practices (e.g., the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the U.S.).[52]
- **Establishing a Pharmacovigilance System**

Develop a robust pharmacovigilance system that complies with regulatory requirements for monitoring and reporting AEFIs. Implement quality management systems to ensure consistency, accuracy, and reliability in data collection and analysis.

Signal Detection and Risk Assessment

- **Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)**: Develop SOPs for signal detection, assessment, and management in accordance with regulatory guidelines.
- **Causality Assessment**: Follow recognized frameworks for assessing the causality of AEFIs, ensuring consistency and transparency.
- **Training and Capacity Building**
- **Staff Training**: Provide training for personnel involved in pharmacovigilance to ensure they understand regulatory requirements and best practices.
- **Awareness Programs**: Conduct awareness programs for healthcare professionals about the importance of reporting AEFIs.
- **Documentation and Record-Keeping**
- **Comprehensive Documentation**: Maintain thorough records of all pharmacovigilance activities, including AEFI reports, assessments, and communications with regulatory authorities.
- **Retention Policies**: Follow regulatory guidelines regarding the retention of documents and data related to vaccine safety.
- **Audit and Inspection Preparedness**
- **Internal Audits**: Conduct regular internal audits of the pharmacovigilance system to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and identify areas for improvement.

Inspection Readiness: Prepare for potential inspections by regulatory authorities by maintaining organized records and demonstrating compliance with established protocols.

- **Reporting And Monitoring –**
- **Reporting and Monitoring of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADR) of Vaccines**

Effective reporting and monitoring of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) associated with vaccines are essential for ensuring vaccine safety and maintaining public trust.:

- **Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR)**: Any unintended, harmful reaction to a vaccine that occurs at doses used for prophylaxis, diagnosis, or therapy.
- **Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI)**: A broader term that includes all untoward medical occurrences following

vaccination, whether or not they are causally related to the vaccine.

- **Reporting Mechanisms**

Structured Reporting Forms: Use standardized reporting forms that include essential information such as:

- Patient demographics
- Vaccine details (type, batch number, administration date)
- Description of the ADR (onset time, severity)
- Any relevant medical history
- **Communication of Findings**

- **Internal Reporting**: Share findings of ADR monitoring with relevant stakeholders, including healthcare providers, public health officials, and regulatory authorities.
- **Public Communication**: Provide transparent communication to the public regarding vaccine safety, including updates on identified ADRs and recommendations.[53]

- **Examples Of Pharmacovigilance Study On Vaccines**

1. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System [VAERS]Analysis for HPV Vaccine

- **Study Focus**: Analyzed reports submitted to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) for the Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine.
- **Findings**: Identified patterns in adverse events, with most reports being non-serious and commonly associated events like fainting and injection site reactions. VAERS, created in 1990 in the US to fulfil a requirement of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, which consists of a spontaneous and voluntary reporting system for any suspected adverse drug reaction (ADR) associated with vaccine use .[54] The system can receive reports of suspected ADRs from healthcare professionals, manufacturers, patients, parents, and caregivers .[55] The reporting form includes fields that capture information on the person who experienced the suspected ADR, the reporter, the suspected ADR, and the vaccine. Reports are coded using the Preferred Terms (PTs) of the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA)[56] Data from primary reports, after the removal of patient sensitive information, are publicly available on the VAERS website and through the CDC Wide Online Data for Epidemiologic Research (WONDER) tool. This database receives reports from both US and non-US sources; between 2011 and 2014, VAERS received an annual average of 30,000 US reports and 6,000 non-US reports, with the majority (> 99%) from vaccine manufacturerS.

2. Influenza Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Women

- **Study Focus**: Monitored the safety of the seasonal influenza vaccine during pregnancy.
- A cohort study using the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) to compare outcomes in vaccinated and unvaccinated pregnant women.
- The Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) is a collaborative project that monitors the safety of vaccines and conducts studies on adverse events. The Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) is a collaborative project between CDC's Immunization Safety Office and healthcare organizations across the United States. Established in 1990, the VSD monitors the safety of vaccines and conducts studies about rare and serious adverse events following immunization. There are 13 sites: 11 provide electronic health record (EHR) data shared under a common data model with a standard data dictionary; 2 provide subject-matter expertise.

Objectives

- Conduct research on important vaccine safety questions in large populations.

- Conduct vaccine safety studies from questions or concerns in the medical literature or from other vaccine safety systems such as the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS).
- Monitor possible rare and serious adverse events when the FDA licenses new vaccines or when there are new vaccine recommendations.

- Provide timely information to the CDC Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). The ACIP makes vaccine safety recommendations for the United States.[57]

3. COVID-19 Vaccine Monitoring via VSD

- **Study Focus**: Evaluated the safety of COVID-19 vaccines (e.g., Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna) in real-world settings.
- **Methodology**: Active surveillance through the VSD and analysis of electronic health records. Identified common mild AEFIs like fatigue and headache, with rare cases of myocarditis, which were monitored closely.

4. Safety of MMR Vaccine

- **Study Focus**: Longitudinal study on the safety of the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine in children. Review of AEFI reports over several years from national databases. Confirmed that serious adverse events were extremely rare, reinforcing the vaccine's safety profile.[58].

5. Post-Marketing Surveillance of Yellow Fever Vaccine

Investigation of ADRs following yellow fever vaccination in multiple countries.

Identified risk factors for specific ADRs and emphasized the importance of informed consent about potential risks.[59]

6. Safety Assessment of DTaP Vaccine

- **Study Focus**: Evaluated the safety of the Diphtheria, Tetanus, and Pertussis (DTaP) vaccine in children.
- **Methodology**: Used a systematic review and meta-analysis of data from various studies.
- **Findings**: Reported that while mild reactions were common, serious AEFIs were rare, supporting the vaccine's benefit-risk ratio.[60]

7. Long-Term Safety of Rotavirus Vaccine

Assessed long-term safety outcomes of the rotavirus vaccine in infants.

Conducted a cohort study with follow-up assessments to monitor health outcomes.

No significant long-term safety concerns were found, with the benefits of preventing severe gastrointestinal disease emphasized.[61]

- **CASE STUDIES –**

Case Study: COVID-19 Vaccine and Myocarditis

Background

As COVID-19 vaccines were rolled out, particularly mRNA vaccines like Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna, reports began to emerge regarding rare cases of myocarditis (inflammation of the heart muscle) following vaccination. This raised public health concerns, especially regarding younger males, leading health authorities to initiate a thorough investigation into the association.

Objective

The primary objective was to assess the incidence of myocarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccinations, evaluate the severity of cases, and compare the risks against the benefits of vaccination in preventing severe COVID-19 illness.

Methodology

1. **Data Sources**:
   - **Adverse Event Reporting Systems**: Data was collected from national databases such as the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in the U.S. and similar databases in other countries.

- **Electronic Health Records (EHR)**: Hospitals and healthcare providers submitted reports on myocarditis cases after vaccination, allowing for a more comprehensive analysis of patient outcomes.

2. **Cohort Study**:

- Researchers conducted a cohort study comparing vaccinated individuals to unvaccinated individuals. The study focused on age and sex demographics, particularly younger males (ages 16-30).
- The incidence of myocarditis was tracked over specified time frames, especially focusing on events occurring within days after the second dose of the vaccine.

3. **Causality Assessment**:

- Cases of myocarditis were evaluated based on clinical presentation, timing in relation to vaccination, and exclusion of other potential causes (such as viral infections).

Findings

1. **Incidence Rates**:

- The analysis indicated that myocarditis cases were significantly more frequent in younger males, particularly those aged 16-30, after receiving the second dose of mRNA vaccines.
- Reports suggested that the incidence was approximately 12.6 cases per million doses administered among individuals aged 16-29.

2. **Severity of Cases**:

- Most cases of myocarditis were classified as mild and resolved with treatment. Hospitalizations occurred, but serious complications were rare.
- Follow-up studies showed that the majority of patients experienced improvement within a few days and did not exhibit long-term cardiac issues.

3. **Risk-Benefit Analysis**:

- Despite the observed risk of myocarditis, health authorities emphasized that the overall benefits of COVID-19 vaccination, including significant reductions in severe illness, hospitalization, and death, outweighed the risks of rare adverse events like myocarditis.
- The data supported continued recommendations for vaccination, particularly as COVID-19 itself posed a much higher risk of myocarditis and other complications compared to vaccination.

Public Health Response

- **Updated Guidance**: Health authorities issued updated guidance to inform the public about the risk of myocarditis, particularly in younger males, while encouraging vaccination.
- **Monitoring**: Enhanced surveillance and monitoring protocols were put in place to track myocarditis cases associated with COVID-19 vaccines moving forward.
- **Public Communication**: Clear communication strategies were developed to address public concerns, emphasizing the importance of vaccination in controlling the pandemic[62]

COVID-19 Vaccine Pharmacovigilance Study

Background

With the rapid development and emergency use authorization of COVID-19 vaccines, including mRNA vaccines such as Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna, pharmacovigilance became crucial to monitor their safety in real-world settings. As vaccination campaigns began, health authorities needed to ensure that the benefits of vaccination outweighed any potential risks.[63]

Objective

The primary aim of this study was to monitor and evaluate the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines during their rollout, focusing on identifying any adverse events, understanding their incidence, and providing timely information to public health officials and the public.

Methodology

1. **Data Sources**:

- **Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD)**: A collaboration between the CDC and several health care organizations, which includes comprehensive electronic health records (EHRs) for millions of patients.
- **Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)**: A national system for monitoring the safety of vaccines, allowing for public and healthcare provider reporting of adverse events.

2. **Data Collection**:
- Collected demographic information, vaccination dates, and health outcomes through electronic health records and direct reporting systems.
- Implemented follow-up procedures to gather additional information about reported adverse events, particularly serious events.

3. **Statistical Analysis**:
- Employed statistical methods, including risk estimation and comparative analyses, to assess the incidence of adverse events.
- Used disproportionality analysis to identify potential safety signals from the VAERS data.

Findings

1. **Common Adverse Events**:
- The study reported that common mild to moderate adverse events following vaccination included:
  - Injection site pain
  - Fatigue
  - Headache
  - Muscle pain
  - Fever

2. **Rare Adverse Events**:
- While most reported events were mild, rare adverse events were closely monitored:
  - **Myocarditis and Pericarditis**: Increased reports of myocarditis, especially in males aged 16-30, were observed after the second dose of mRNA vaccines. The incidence was estimated at about 12.6 cases per million doses.
  - Most cases were mild and resolved with treatment, reinforcing the need for careful monitoring.[64]

3. **Risk-Benefit Analysis**:
- Despite the identified risks, the overall conclusion was that the benefits of COVID-19 vaccination—significantly reducing the risk of severe illness, hospitalization, and death—far outweighed the risks of rare adverse events.
- Ongoing analyses showed that unvaccinated individuals had a higher risk of myocarditis due to COVID-19 infection itself compared to vaccinated individuals.

4. **Public Health Response**:
- Health authorities updated guidelines and public communications to inform about the risk of myocarditis while emphasizing the importance of vaccination.
- Enhanced monitoring systems were established to ensure continued vigilance for potential adverse events.

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 vaccine pharmacovigilance study highlighted the critical importance of real-time monitoring of vaccine safety. It demonstrated how effective surveillance systems can identify potential safety concerns, allow for timely responses, and maintain public trust in vaccination programs. The data collected not only informed public health decisions but also contributed to the broader understanding of vaccine safety in diverse populations during a global pandemic. This case exemplifies the need for robust pharmacovigilance systems to ensure that vaccines remain safe and effective as they are administered to millions.[65]

Education And Studies –

1. Study on HPV Vaccine Safety (2010-2015)

Objective:

To evaluate the safety profile of the Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine through a

comprehensive analysis of reported adverse events.

Methodology:

- **Data Source**: Utilized data from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) over five years.
- **Analysis**: Conducted a descriptive analysis of reported adverse events, categorizing them by type, severity, and demographics.

Findings:

- Most reported adverse events were mild, including local reactions and fever.
- Serious adverse events were rare and often non-causal.
- The study concluded that the HPV vaccine had a favorable safety profile, supporting its continued use.[66]

2. Vaccine Safety Datalink Study on Influenza Vaccine

Objective:

To assess the safety of the seasonal influenza vaccine among different populations, particularly pregnant women.

Methodology:

- **Data Source**: Leveraged the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD), which includes electronic health records from multiple healthcare organizations.
- **Cohort Analysis**: Compared outcomes in vaccinated pregnant women to unvaccinated controls, monitoring for adverse events.

Findings:

- The study found no increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage and preterm birth, associated with the influenza vaccine.
- Recommended continued vaccination for pregnant women to protect both mothers and infants.[67]

3. COVID-19 Vaccine Pharmacovigilance Study

Objective:

To monitor the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines (e.g., Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna) during the emergency use authorization phase.

Methodology:

- **Active Surveillance**: Utilized the VSD and electronic health records to track adverse events following vaccination.
- **Data Analysis**: Employed statistical methods to identify potential safety signals and causal associations.

Findings:

- Common mild to moderate adverse events were reported, such as injection site pain, fatigue, and headache.
- Rare cases of myocarditis were identified, especially in younger males, but overall, the benefits of vaccination significantly outweighed the risks.[68]

4. Long-Term Safety of Rotavirus Vaccine

Objective:

To evaluate the long-term safety outcomes associated with the rotavirus vaccine in infants.

Methodology:

- **Cohort Study**: Conducted a longitudinal study following infants who received the rotavirus vaccine, monitoring health outcomes over time.
- **Data Collection**: Gathered data from healthcare providers and parental reports on adverse events.

Findings:

- The study found no significant long-term safety concerns.
- Emphasized the benefits of preventing severe rotavirus disease in infants, leading to its endorsement in vaccination schedules.[69]

5. Surveillance of Adverse Events Following Yellow Fever Vaccination

Objective:

To investigate the incidence of adverse events following yellow fever vaccination in multiple countries.

Methodology:

- **Observational Study**: Collected data on reported adverse events through national health systems and vaccine safety monitoring networks.
- **Causality Assessment**: Employed established criteria to evaluate the relationship between vaccination and reported adverse events.

Findings:

- A slight increase in specific adverse events was noted, but overall, serious adverse reactions were rare.
- Reinforced the importance of vaccination against yellow fever, especially in endemic areas.[70]
- **Opportunities for strengthening vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance**

The WHO Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP):

The GVAP is a global framework for immunization, endorsed by all countries. It has six clearly stated strategic objectives which also served as the guiding principles for the development of the plan. These guiding principles underpin the vision of a decade of vaccines (2011-2020), with an ideal of a world in which all individuals and communities enjoy lives free from vaccine-preventable diseases. The 4th of the six strategic objectives of the GVAP states that strong immunization systems are built as an integral part of a wellfunctioning health system. To attain this objective, the GVAP calls for ensuring that everyone everywhere receives the safest vaccines possible and that safety concerns are not a cause of hesitancy in using vaccines. Implementation of the GVAP therefore presents an opportunity for countries to develop plans for immunization comprehensively, including monitoring and reporting of all AEFIs and to contribute to strengthening pharmacovigilance. The Global Vaccine Safety Blueprint (GVSB) and Global Vaccine Safety Initiative (GVSI): Reliable framework and enduring initiative: To support the LMICs to establish and strengthen vaccine safety monitoring systems, WHO launched the Global Vaccine Safety Blueprint (GVSB). The GVSB is the basis for countries to plan and implement vaccine safety activities. These safety activities include monitoring, reporting, investigating and disseminating information about vaccine adverse events16. The GVSB is accompanied by a global portfolio of vaccine safety activities being undertaken. Also, the WHO created a technical support network, the Global Vaccine Safety Initiative (GVSI) comprising partners and experts to support technical capacity building in countries (World Health Organization (8). The GVSB and GVSI have provided a strong framework for the capacity building in the African Region. It remains the backbone of vaccine safety activities in the region. Other opportunities for strengthening vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance The establishment of functional systems for vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance requires resources, financial, human and other partner inputs. The current environment offers some opportunities to countries in the region. Vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance is receiving attention from many stakeholders.[71]

- **Future perspectives**

The future of vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance looks bright. The first steps in the entire process of ensuring that countries build robust vaccine systems for vaccine safety and pharmacovigilance have been put in place. The planning workshops were successful, and the participating countries have developed national plans which are consistent with their national health strategic plans and are implementing of them. Adequate, resources will have to be mobilized, especially from internal sources, adequate capacity built, training plans developed,

and new policy changes effected. WHO has focused on training a set of potential trainers and creating a network of trainers who could cascade the training activities down to the lowest levels. This roster of trainers will be kept updated and used to drive vaccine safety training in the region. The GVAP and UMC ADR reports will be used to monitor the progress of countries, with quarterly reporting, to ensure that they remain on track.[72]

CONCLUSION –

In summary, this review explores vaccine pharmacovigilance, unraveling multifaceted challenges and promising perspectives. Strengthening surveillance systems is crucial for early detection and rapid response to adverse events. The unique characteristics of vaccines, including strain dynamics and stakeholder diversity, necessitate tailored approaches for effective risk communication. The pivotal role played by organizations underscores the importance of standardized safety protocols and research priorities. As global vaccine recommendations surge, addressing challenges in production, affordability, and scalability remains imperative

REFERENCES

1. de Oliveira, M.M.M.; Wagner, G.A.; Gattás, V.L.; de Souza Arruda, L.; Taminato, M. Pharmacovigilance Quality System for Vaccine Monitoring (COVID-19) Using Quality Indicators: A Scoping Review. Int. J. Infect. Control 2021, 17
2. Jose, J.; Al Rubaie, M.H.; Al Ramimmy, H.; Varughese, S.S. Pharmacovigilance Basic Concepts and an Overview of the System in Oman. Sultan Qaboos Univ. Med. J. 2021, 21, e161–e163
3. WHO Policy Perspectives on Medicines. Geneva: WHO; 2004. Geneva: World Health Organization. Looking at the Pharmacovigilance: ensuring the safe use of medicines
4. Czochor, J.; Turchick, A. Focus: Vaccines. Yale J. Biol. Med. 2014, 87, 401–402
5. World Health Organization. WHO Technical Report Series No. 498 International Drug Monitoring: The Role of National Centres. Geneva, Switzerland: Report of a WHO Meeting; 1972
6. Gyllensten H., Hakkarainen K. M., Hägg S., et al. Economic impact of adverse drug events- a retrospective population-based cohort study of 4970 adults. PLoS One . 2014;9(3) doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092061.e92061
7. Silva, L.T.; Modesto, A.C.F.; Amaral, R.G.; Lopes, F.M. Hospitalizations and Deaths Related to Adverse Drug Events Worldwide: Systematic Review of Studies with National Coverage. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2022, 78, 435–466
8. Prajapati K, Desai M, Shah S, Panchal J, Kapadia J, Dikshit R. An analysis of serious adverse drug reactions at a tertiary care teaching hospital. Perspect Clin Res 2016;7:181-6.
9. Sefah IA, Godman B. Potential ways to enhance ADR reporting given current concerns. Adv Hum Biol 2021;11:137-40.
10. Jose, J.; Al Rubaie, M.H.; Al Ramimmy, H.; Varughese, S.S. Pharmacovigilance Basic Concepts and an Overview of the System in Oman. Sultan Qaboos Univ. Med. J. 2021, 21, e161–e163.
11. Greenwood, B. The Contribution of Vaccination to Global Health: Past, Present and Future. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2014, 369, 20130433
12. Riedel, S. Edward Jenner and the History of Smallpox and Vaccination. In Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings; Taylor & Francis: Oxford, NY, USA, 2005

13. Tuells, J. Vaccinology: The Name, the Concept, the Adjectives. Vaccine 2012, 30, 5491–5495
14. Recommended Immunization Schedule for Persons Aged 0 Through 6 Years—United States. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2010
15. Marrack P, McKee AS, Munks MW. Towards an understanding of the adjuvant action of aluminium. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2009;9:287–293. Medline
16. Mast EE, Margolis HS, Fiore AE, Brink EW, Goldstein ST, Wang SA, Moyer LA, Bell BP, Alter MJ. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) part 1: Immunization of infants, children, and adolescents. MMWR Recomm. Rep. 2005;54(RR-16):1–31. Medline.
17. Andersen P, Doherty TM. The success and failure of BCG—Implications for a novel tuberculosis vaccine. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2005;3:656–662. Medline
18. Heldwein KA, Liang MD, Andresen TK, Thomas KE, Marty AM, Cuesta N, Vogel SN, Fenton MJ. TLR2 and TLR4 serve distinct roles in the host immune response against Mycobacterium bovis BCG. J. Leukoc. Biol. 2003;74:277–286. Medline.
19. Vekemans J, Amedei A, Ota MO, D'Elios MM, Goetghebuer T, Ismaili J, Newport MJ, Del Prete G, Goldman M, McAdam KPWJ, Mayant A. Neonatal bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccination induces adult-like IFN-gamma production by CD4+ T lymphocytes. Eur. J. Immunol. 2001;31:1531–1535. Medline.
20. Trunz BB, Fine P, Dye C. Effect of BCG vaccination on childhood tuberculous meningitis and miliary tuberculosis worldwide: A meta-analysis and assessment of cost-effectiveness. Lancet. 2006;367:1173–1180. Medline.
21. Roth A, Jensen H, Garly ML, Djana Q, Martins CL, Sodemann M, Rodrigues A, Aaby P. Low birth weight infants and Calmette-Guérin bacillus vaccination at birth: Community study from Guinea-Bissau. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 2004;23:544–550. Medline.
22. Halsey N, Galazka A. The efficacy of DPT and oral poliomyelitis immunization schedules initiated from birth to 12 weeks of age. Bull. World Health Organ. 1985;63:1151–1169. Medline.
23. Vekemans J, Ota MO, Wang EC, Kidd M, Borysiewicz LK, Whittle H, McAdam KP, Morgan G, Marchant A. T cell responses to vaccines in infants: Defective IFNgamma production after oral polio vaccination. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 2002;127:495–498. Medline
24. Philbin VJ, Levy O. Immunostimulatory activity of Toll-like receptor 8 agonists towards human leucocytes: Basic mechanisms and translational opportunities. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2007;35:1485–1491. Medline
25. Roehr B. Whooping cough outbreak hits several US states. BMJ. 2010 Aug 24;341:c4627. Medline.
26. Di Sant'Agnese PA. Combined immunization against diphtheria tetanus and pertussis in newborn infants; production of antibodies in early infancy. Pediatrics. 1949;3:20–33. Medline
27. van den Biggelaar AH, Richmond PC, Pomat WS, Phuanukoonnon S, Nadal-Sims MA, Devitt CJ, Siba PM, Lehmann D, Holt PG. Neonatal pneumococcal conjugate vaccine

immunization primes T cells for preferential Th2 cytokine expression: A randomized controlled trial in Papua New Guinea. Vaccine. 2009;27:1340–1347. Medline

28. Vesikari T, Karvonen A, Forrest BD, Hoshino Y, Chanock RM, Kapikian AZ. Neonatal administration of rhesus rotavirus tetravalent vaccine. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 2006;25:118–122. Medline
29. Dennehy PH. Rotavirus vaccines: An overview. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2008;21:198–208. Medline
30. Resik S, Tejeda A, Lago PM, Diaz M, Carmenates A, Sarmiento L, Alemañi N, Galindo B, Burton A, Friede M, Landaverde M, Sutter RW. Randomized controlled clinical trial of fractional doses of inactivated poliovirus vaccine administered intradermally by needle-free device in Cuba. J. Infect. Dis. 2010;201:1344–1352. Medline
31. Borkowsky w, wara d, fenton t, mcnamara j, kang m, mofenson l, mcfarland e, cunningham c, duliege am, francis d, bryson y, burchett s, spector sa, frenkel lm, starr s, van dyke r, jimenez e. Lymphoproliferative responses to recombinant hiv-1 envelope antigens in neonates and infants receiving gp120 vaccines. Aids clinical trial group 230 collaborators. J. Infect. Dis. 2000;181:890–896. Medline
32. Cunningham ck, wara dw, kang m, fenton t, hawkins e, mcnamara j, mofenson l, duliege am, francis d, mcfarland ej, borkowsky w. Pediatric aidsclinical trials group 230 collaborators safety of 2 recombinant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (hiv-1) envelope vaccines in neonates born to hiv-1-infected women. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2001;32:801–807. Medline
33. Poland GA, Ovsyannikova IG, Kennedy RB, Haralambieva IH, Jacobson RM. Vaccinomics and a new paradigm for the development of preventive vaccines against viral infections. Omics: a journal of integrative biology. 2011 Sep 1;15(9):625-36.
34. Shenoi S and Friedland G. 2009. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: a new face to an old pathogen. Annu. Rev. Med. 60:307–320
35. Colditz GA, Berkey CS, Mosteller F, Brewer TF, Wilson ME, Burdick E, and Fineberg HV. 1995. The efficacy of bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of newborns and infants in the prevention of tuberculosis: meta-analyses of the published literature. Pediatrics 96:29–35
36. Cuello-García CA, Pérez-Gaxiola G, Jiménez Gutiérrez C. Treating BCG-induced disease in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;1:CD008300.
37. Bcg vaccine (tice strain) vial - uses, side effects, and more generic name(s)
38. Dhar Chowdhury S., Oommen A.M. Epidemiology of COVID-19. J. Dig. Endosc. 2020;11(1):3–7. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1712187.
39. Fong S.J., Dey N., Chaki J. 2020. An Introduction to COVID-19, Artificial Intelligence for Coronavirus Outbreak; pp. 1–22.
40. World Health Organisation Vaccines and immunization: What is Vaccination? December 30. 2020.
41. COVAXIN BBV154; COVAXIN India's First indigenous COVID-19 vaccine: BHARATH BIOTECH. Available from: https://www.bharatbiotech.com/covaxin.html . Jose D., Dhupdale N., Cacodcar J.A., Kamat U. Current drug safety; 2022. Surveillance on Adverse Events Following COVISHIELD (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) Vaccination in Goa, India: an Observational Study. 10.2174/1574886317666220803104438

42. Röhn TA, Bachmann MF. Vaccines against non-communicable diseases. Current opinion in immunology. 2010 Jun 1;22(3):391-6.
43. Lundstrom K. Coronavirus pandemic—therapy and vaccines. Biomedicines. 2020 May 3;8(5):109.
44. Zuber PL, Gruber M, Kaslow DC, Chen RT, Giersing BK, Friede MH. Evolving pharmacovigilance requirements with novel vaccines and vaccine components. BMJ Global Health. 2021 May 1;6(Suppl 2):e003403.
45. Stem C, Margoluis R, Salafsky N, Brown M. Monitoring and evaluation in conservation: a review of trends and approaches. Conservation biology. 2005 Apr;19(2):295-309.
46. Bonaldo G, Noseda R, Ceschi A, Vaccheri A, Motola D. Evaluation of the safety profile of rotavirus vaccines: a pharmacovigilance analysis on American and European data. Scientific reports. 2020 Aug 12;10(1):13601.
47. Tell JG, Coller BA, Dubey SA, Jenal U, Lapps W, Wang L, Wolf J. Environmental risk assessment for rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP, a genetically modified live vaccine for Ebola virus disease. Vaccines. 2020 Dec 19;8(4):779.
48. Restivo V, Costantino C, Bono S, Maniglia M, Marchese V, Ventura G, Casuccio A, Tramuto F, Vitale F. Influenza vaccine effectiveness among high-risk groups: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies. Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics. 2018 Mar 4;14(3):724-35.
49. Lutukai M, Bunde EA, Hatch B, Mohamed Z, Yavari S, Some E, Chweya A, Kania C, Ross JC, Keddem C, Chandani Y. Using data to keep vaccines cold in Kenya: remote temperature monitoring with data review teams for vaccine management. Global Health: Science and Practice. 2019 Dec 23;7(4):585-97.
50. Heininger U. A risk–benefit analysis of vaccination. Vaccine. 2009 Dec 30;27:G9-12.
51. Charrier L, Garlasco J, Thomas R, Gardois P, Bo M, Zotti CM. An overview of strategies to improve vaccination compliance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2022 Sep 3;19(17):11044.
52. Kanchan A, Sharma S. Regulatory Frameworks and Guidelines: Understanding the Landscape for Pharmaceutical Companies. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation and Research Methodology, ISSN: 2960-2068. 2024 Apr 6;3(2):13-22.
53. Tembe-Fokunang EA, Nyuki BA, Fokam J, Fonmboh DJ, Kaba KN, Fokunang LB, Mbopi-Keou FX, Therese A, Marie OO, Duerr R, Fokunang CN. An overview of pharmacovigilance and adverse drug reaction monitoring of drugs and vaccines during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2022 Jul 28;24(6):24-41.
54. Shimabukuro TT, Nguyen M, Martin D, DeStefano F. Safety monitoring in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). Vaccine. 2015. p. 4398–405
55. Tanzi MG. CDC and FDA launch VAERS 2.0. Pharm Today [Internet]. Elsevier; 2017 Aug 1 [cited 2018 Sep 14];44
56. Brown EG, Wood L, Wood S. The medical dictionary for regulatory activities (MedDRA) Drug Saf. 1999;20:109–117. doi: 10.2165/00002018-199920020-00002.
57. Naleway AL, Smith WJ, Mullooly JP. Delivering influenza vaccine to pregnant women. Epidemiologic reviews. 2006 Aug 1;28(1):47-53.

58. Edwards C. Is the MMR vaccine safe?. Western journal of medicine. 2001 Mar;174(3):197
59. de Menezes Martins R, Maia MD, dos Santos EM, Cruz RL, dos Santos PR, Carvalho SM, Sato HK, Schermann MT, Mohrdieck R, Leal MD, Homma A. Yellow fever vaccine post-marketing surveillance in Brazil. Procedia in Vaccinology. 2010 Jan 1;2(2):178-83.
60. Moro PL, Perez-Vilar S, Lewis P, Bryant-Genevier M, Kamiya H, Cano M. Safety surveillance of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis (DTaP) vaccines. Pediatrics. 2018 Jul 1;142(1).
61. Payne DC, Selvarangan R, Azimi PH, Boom JA, Englund JA, Staat MA, Halasa NB, Weinberg GA, Szilagyi PG, Chappell J, McNeal M. Long-term consistency in rotavirus vaccine protection: RV5 and RV1 vaccine effectiveness in US children, 2012–2013. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2015 Dec 15;61(12):1792-9.
62. Witberg G, Barda N, Hoss S, Richter I, Wiessman M, Aviv Y, Grinberg T, Auster O, Dagan N, Balicer RD, Kornowski R. Myocarditis after Covid-19 vaccination in a large health care organization. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021 Dec 2;385(23):2132-9.
63. Ramdani Y, Largeau B, Jonville-Bera AP, Maillot F, Audemard-Verger A. COVID-19 vaccination as a trigger of IgA vasculitis: a global pharmacovigilance study. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2023 Apr 1;50(4):564-7.
64. Dutta S, Kaur RJ, Bhardwaj P, Sharma P, Ambwani S, Islam S, Tandon A, Abhayanand JP, Sukhija S, Venkatesh SS, Misra S. Adverse events reported from the COVID-19 vaccines: A descriptive study based on the WHO database (VigiBase®). Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science. 2021 Jul 28;11(8):001-9.
65. Shrestha S, Khatri J, Shakya S, Danekhu K, Khatiwada AP, Sah R, Kc B, Paudyal V, Khanal S, Rodriguez-Morales AJ. Adverse events related to COVID-19 vaccines: the need to strengthen pharmacovigilance monitoring systems. Drugs & Therapy Perspectives. 2021 Aug;37:376-82.
66. Hanson KE, Koch B, Bonner K, McRee AL, Basta NE. National trends in parental human papillomavirus vaccination intentions and reasons for hesitancy, 2010–2015. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2018 Sep 14;67(7):1018-26.
67. Lee GM, Greene SK, Weintraub ES, Baggs J, Kulldorff M, Fireman BH, Baxter R, Jacobsen SJ, Irving S, Daley MF, Yin R. H1N1 and seasonal influenza vaccine safety in the vaccine safety datalink project. American journal of preventive medicine. 2011 Aug 1;41(2):121-8.
68. Ramdani Y, Largeau B, Jonville-Bera AP, Maillot F, Audemard-Verger A. COVID-19 vaccination as a trigger of IgA vasculitis: a global pharmacovigilance study. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2023 Apr 1;50(4):564-7.
69. van Dongen JA, Rouers ED, Schuurman R, Band C, Watkins SM, van Houten MA, Bont LJ, Norbruis OF, Hemels MA, van Well GT, Vlieger AM. Rotavirus vaccine safety and effectiveness in infants with high-risk medical conditions. Pediatrics. 2021 Dec 1;148(6).
70. Breugelmans J, Lewis RF, Agbenu E, Veit O, Jackson D, Domingo C, Böthe M, Perea W, Niedrig M, Gessner BD, Yactayo S. Adverse events following yellow fever preventive vaccination campaigns in eight African countries from 2007 to 2010. Vaccine. 2013 Apr 3;31(14):1819-29.
71. Meher BR. Vaccine pharmacovigilance in India: Current context and future perspective.

Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2019 Jul 1;51(4):243-7.

72. Meher BR. Vaccine pharmacovigilance in India: Current context and future perspective. Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2019 Jul 1;51(4):243-7

HOW TO CITE: Shraddha Mgadum*, Sonal Kumbhar, Dr. Nilesh Chougule, What Are Vaccines and Pharmacovigilance in Vaccine Safety, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2024, Vol 2, Issue 11, 1469-1490. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14233961