INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00]
Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com

Review Paper

Pharmacokinetic Modeling of Nanoemulsions in Systematic Drug Delivery

Vishwajeet Chatekar[1]*, Pallavi Sutar[2], Shilpa Shinde[3], Sourabh Bhosale[4], Pranoti Patil[5]

[1]*BLDE Association's SSM College of Pharmacy and Research Centre. Vijayapura karnataka,*
[2]*Rajarambapu College of Pharmacy, Kasegaon,*
[3]*R.S.C. Institute of Pharmacy Kolhapur,*
[4,5]*Sanjay Ghodawat University school of pharmaceutical science*

ARTICLE INFO

Published: 11 Jan. 2025
Keywords:
Nanoemulsions, Systematic Drug Delivery
DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.14631442

ABSTRACT

Nanoemulsions, as versatile drug delivery systems, offer unique advantages in improving the bioavailability, stability, and controlled release of therapeutic agents. The pharmacokinetics of nanoemulsions involves complex interactions among their physicochemical properties, biological barriers, and drug release mechanisms. This review explores the principles, methodologies, and applications of pharmacokinetic modeling in understanding nanoemulsion-based drug delivery systems. By integrating experimental data with computational models, researchers can predict drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME), thereby optimizing therapeutic outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Pharmacokinetics (PK) involves the study of how drug concentrations fluctuate within the body to understand the processes of absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME). In contrast, pharmacodynamics (PD) examines the relationship between drug dosage and its effects on the body. The integration of PK and PD through modeling establishes a connection between these domains, enabling the identification of key drug characteristics using mathematical approaches. These models help predict the intensity and duration of drug action under various physiological and pathological conditions, playing a pivotal role in drug development. Over the past four decades, PK-PD models have been extensively applied to traditional solution dosage forms, such as injectables and infusions, to study ADME and drug toxicity.

***Corresponding Author:** Vishwajeet Chatekar
Address: *BLDE Association's SSM College of Pharmacy and Research Centre. Vijayapura karnataka*.
Email ✉: pratikshabpatil28@gmail.com
Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The advancement of nanotechnology has introduced numerous nano-drugs into clinical trials, supported by extensive research. For example, the FDA-approved Rebinyn® (Coagulation Factor IX (Recombinant) Glyco-PEGylated) in 2017 for managing bleeding episodes and perioperative bleeding in hemophilia B patients. Rebinyn® has an extended half-life in vivo, approximately five times longer than earlier drugs like BeneFix®, significantly reducing the frequency of infusions. Unlike conventional solution drugs, which are free molecules dissolved in water, nano-drug delivery systems (nano-DDS) are typically two-phase systems, such as emulsions, micelles, and liposomes. In emulsions, for instance, the therapeutic effect stems from both free drugs and drugs encapsulated within the oil phase. Despite advancements, a substantial gap remains between nanotechnology innovations and their clinical application. Most nanoparticles tend to accumulate in non-target tissues, limiting their therapeutic efficacy. To address these challenges, tailored PK-PD models have been developed for nano-drugs. For instance, in a pancreatic adenocarcinoma mouse model, researchers established a semi-mechanistic PK-PD model for photodynamic therapy combined with DOX liposomes. Other studies have explored the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of nanoemulsions, such as rutin nanoemulsion in Parkinson's disease and mechanism-based models for nano-MSCs. Thermosensitive liposomes have also been studied using computational models integrated with intravital fluorescence microscopy to simulate drug transport kinetics. However, traditional PK and PK-PD models designed for solution dosage forms are still frequently used for nano-DDS, often conflating the behaviors of encapsulated and free drugs. For example, studies have utilized conventional PK models to evaluate the release and retention of nanoemulsions like curcumin and hydroxysafflor yellow in vivo. This approach overlooks the distinct roles of encapsulated drugs, which provide sustained release, and free drugs, which deliver rapid effects. Developing PK-PD models that differentiate these forms is critical for understanding the pharmacological properties of nano-drugs. To improve nanoparticle design and target drug delivery, this study introduces a "linear multiple input and single output system" (LMISOS), incorporating encapsulated and free drug compartments across central and peripheral compartments. Using intravenous infusion as a case study, LMISOS was applied to scutellarin intravenous emulsion. Scutellarin, a primary component of breviscapine with notable anti-thrombotic and anti-coagulant properties, has shown efficacy in treating cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. The prepared scutellarin emulsion primarily encapsulates the drug within a phospholipid layer, making it an ideal candidate for evaluating PK-PD models in nano-drug delivery systems.

Pharmacokinetics of Nanoemulsions

Nanoemulsions represent an advanced drug delivery system with unique pharmacokinetic (PK) characteristics that distinguish them from conventional dosage forms. These systems consist of two distinct drug phases: encapsulated drugs, which are entrapped within the nanoemulsion matrix for sustained release, and free drugs, which are dissolved in the aqueous phase for rapid pharmacological effects. The coexistence of these phases results in a biphasic release profile, where the free drug provides an initial burst of therapeutic action, followed by a prolonged effect from the encapsulated drug. This dual-phase behavior significantly impacts PK profiles, often leading to non-linear kinetics due to the interplay between release, absorption, and distribution. Encapsulation enhances drug stability and prolongs circulation time, while the free drug offers immediate bioavailability. However,

distinguishing the contributions of each phase to overall drug exposure poses a significant challenge, requiring specialized PK models that account for drug-carrier interactions and phase-specific release dynamics. By addressing these complexities, nanoemulsions can be optimized for precise drug delivery, improved therapeutic outcomes, and reduced side effects, making them a valuable tool in modern medicine. Nanoemulsions are innovative drug delivery systems that exhibit distinct pharmacokinetic (PK) characteristics due to their structural complexity and dual-phase behavior. These systems comprise encapsulated drugs, embedded within the nanoemulsion matrix for sustained release, and free drugs, dissolved in the aqueous phase for immediate pharmacological action. This dual-phase system offers a unique advantage by combining rapid therapeutic effects with prolonged efficacy, resulting in a biphasic drug release profile. The free drug phase ensures a swift onset of action, while the encapsulated phase provides a controlled and sustained release, enhancing the therapeutic window. Such a design can improve drug stability, prolong circulation time, and reduce the frequency of administration. However, these advantages also bring challenges. The pharmacokinetics of nanoemulsions are more complex than conventional dosage forms, often exhibiting non-linear kinetics due to interactions between the drug, carrier, and biological environment. Encapsulation shields the drug from premature degradation and systemic clearance, but distinguishing the specific contributions of encapsulated and free drugs to overall drug exposure is critical. Advanced PK modeling techniques are essential to unravel these interactions, enabling accurate predictions of drug behavior in vivo. By understanding these dynamics, nanoemulsions can be tailored to target specific tissues, minimize off-target effects, and adapt to precision medicine approaches. This versatility underscores the importance of nanoemulsions in enhancing therapeutic outcomes, improving patient compliance, and advancing modern drug delivery systems.

Challenges in PK Modeling for Nanoemulsions

1. **Complex Drug Release Mechanisms:**

The release of APIs from nanoemulsions occurs via several pathways, such as passive diffusion, degradation of the encapsulating matrix, or response to environmental triggers like pH, enzymes, or temperature. These multi-modal release mechanisms complicate the modeling of drug release kinetics. Conventional PK models, designed for single-phase release, are inadequate for capturing the intricate release profiles of nanoemulsions. Accurate modeling requires integrating these factors to describe how encapsulated drugs transition into the free-drug phase over time.

2. **Targeted vs. Off-Target Delivery:**

Nanoemulsions are engineered to deliver drugs selectively to target tissues; however, in reality, a substantial proportion accumulates in off-target tissues. This non-specific distribution reduces therapeutic efficacy and increases the risk of systemic toxicity. PK models must account for these discrepancies by incorporating mechanisms of drug transport, retention, and elimination from non-target tissues. This can be achieved using compartmental models that simulate drug distribution across various biological compartments.

3. **Dynamic Distribution:**

The interaction between encapsulated and free drugs in systemic circulation and tissues is highly dynamic. Encapsulated drugs are gradually released into the free-drug phase, altering their pharmacokinetic profiles over time. Furthermore, the encapsulated drugs may preferentially accumulate in specific organs, such as the liver and spleen, due to the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS). Advanced compartmental modeling

approaches, such as physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models, are necessary to accurately describe the interplay between these two phases and their impact on drug exposure, efficacy, and safety.

Addressing Challenges with Advanced PK Models

1. Mechanistic Models: Incorporating mechanistic insights into drug release, such as matrix degradation rates or environmental triggers, can refine the prediction of drug release profiles.
2. Dual-Compartment Models: Separating the encapsulated and free-drug phases into distinct compartments within PK models can improve the understanding of their individual and collective contributions.
3. Target-Specific Modeling: By including parameters for tissue-specific drug transport and accumulation, PK models can provide better predictions for targeted drug delivery.
4. PBPK Models: These models integrate physiological parameters (e.g., blood flow, tissue composition) to provide a comprehensive representation of drug distribution, aiding in the design of nanoemulsions for precision medicine.

In conclusion, while nanoemulsions offer immense potential for systemic drug delivery, their complexity necessitates advanced pharmacokinetic modeling approaches to fully harness their benefits. By addressing these challenges, researchers can optimize nanoemulsion-based therapies for improved patient outcomes.

Case Studies and Applications of Pharmacokinetic Modeling of Nanoemulsions

Cancer Therapies

Nanoemulsions have shown significant promise in improving cancer treatment outcomes by enhancing drug delivery and targeting. For example, photodynamic therapy combined with doxorubicin liposomes demonstrated the applicability of semi-mechanistic PK-PD (pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic) models in optimizing drug concentrations at tumor sites. These models provided insights into maximizing therapeutic efficacy while minimizing systemic toxicity. Similarly, thermosensitive liposomes, studied through fluorescence microscopy and computational simulations, offered a detailed understanding of localized drug delivery, enabling precise temperature-triggered drug release for enhanced tumor targeting.

Neurological Disorders

Nanoemulsions have also been investigated for the treatment of neurological disorders due to their ability to cross the blood-brain barrier effectively. For instance, rutin-based nanoemulsions, known for their antioxidant properties, have been explored for managing Parkinson's disease. Pharmacokinetic models played a critical role in optimizing dosages, ensuring sustained drug release and prolonged neuroprotective effects while minimizing systemic side effects.

Cardiovascular Treatments

In cardiovascular treatments, nanoemulsions have been utilized to improve the therapeutic profile of existing drugs. Scutellarin nanoemulsions, designed for sustained release, have been studied for their anti-thrombotic properties. Advanced PK-PD models facilitated the understanding of their pharmacological behavior, enabling fine-tuning of drug delivery to maintain therapeutic concentrations over extended periods.

Osteoarthritis Management

For osteoarthritis, nanoemulsion-based therapies have provided prolonged relief from symptoms. A notable example is Zilretta®, a triamcinolone acetonide nanoemulsion, which demonstrated extended pain relief in patients. Supported by pharmacokinetic modeling, this formulation achieved an optimal balance of drug release and therapeutic efficacy, ensuring a steady reduction in

pain and inflammation over time. These case studies highlight the versatility and effectiveness of nanoemulsions across diverse therapeutic areas. Advanced pharmacokinetic modeling has been instrumental in guiding the design, optimization, and application of these novel drug delivery systems, paving the way for precision medicine tailored to individual patient needs.

Future Directions

The future of nanoemulsions in systemic drug delivery lies in addressing both technical and clinical challenges, paving the way for widespread adoption and enhanced therapeutic efficacy. Personalized medicine will play a pivotal role by employing pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) models to develop patient-specific formulations, considering individual genetic, metabolic, and physiological differences. This approach could minimize variability in drug response and maximize therapeutic outcomes.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) is expected to revolutionize the development of nanoemulsions. Predictive algorithms powered by AI can model complex drug release mechanisms, predict biodistribution, and optimize dosage regimens. Machine learning models can also analyze vast datasets from clinical trials to refine predictions and reduce the time and cost associated with drug development. To improve therapeutic precision, advanced targeting mechanisms are a priority. Innovations such as surface modification of nanoemulsions with ligands, peptides, or antibodies can enhance site-specific drug delivery, reducing systemic toxicity and increasing drug efficacy. Stimuli-responsive nanoemulsions, activated by environmental cues like pH, temperature, or enzymes, are another promising avenue for ensuring localized drug release.

Regulatory harmonization will be essential to accelerate the clinical translation of nanoemulsion-based therapies. Establishing global standards for pharmacokinetic modeling and conducting robust preclinical and clinical studies will ensure consistent quality, safety, and efficacy. Collaboration between regulatory agencies, academia, and industry will be critical in creating universally accepted frameworks for nanoemulsion drug approval. Investment in multidisciplinary research is also vital for exploring innovative applications of nanoemulsions. Combining insights from fields such as nanotechnology, bioengineering, pharmacology, and computational modeling will lead to the development of next-generation formulations. Advances in imaging technologies, such as real-time fluorescence or radiolabel tracking, can further refine our understanding of nanoemulsion dynamics in vivo, aiding in the creation of more precise models.

CONCLUSION

Pharmacokinetic modeling remains a cornerstone in the development of nanoemulsions for systemic drug delivery, offering insights into their unique dual-phase behavior and complex interactions within the body. By addressing current limitations and embracing technological advancements, such as AI-driven modeling, stimuli-responsive systems, and personalized approaches, the potential of nanoemulsions can be fully realized. These innovations will not only improve patient outcomes but also set new benchmarks in drug delivery systems, ensuring the safe, effective, and tailored treatment of diverse medical conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Torchilin, V. P. (2005). Recent advances with liposomes as pharmaceutical carriers. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 4(2), 145–160.
2. Muller, R. H., Radtke, M., & Wissing, S. A. (2002). Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC) in cosmetic and pharmaceutical preparations. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 54(S1), S131–S155.

3. Wang, X., & Wang, G. (2020). Insights into nanomedicine in clinical applications: From bench to bedside. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences, 41(11), 766–781.
4. Kesisoglou, F., Panmai, S., & Wu, Y. (2007). Nanosizing—oral formulation development and biopharmaceutical evaluation. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 59(7), 631–644.
5. McClements, D. J. (2012). Nanoemulsions as systems for delivery of nutraceuticals and pharmaceuticals: Fabrication, performance, and potential. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 94, 29–47.
6. Chime, S. A., Onyishi, I. V., & Brown, S. A. (2014). Nanoemulsions—advances in formulation, characterization, and applications in drug delivery. Applications of Nanotechnology in Drug Delivery, 58, 1–11.
7. Thakur, N., Garg, G., & Sharma, P. K. (2011). Nanoemulsions: A review on various pharmaceutical applications. Global Journal of Pharmacology, 6(3), 222–225.
8. Shakeel, F., Ramadan, W., & Ahmed, M. A. (2009). Pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of a nanoemulsion formulation of an antimalarial drug. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 373(1–2), 144–152.
9. Singh, Y., Meher, J. G., Raval, K., et al. (2017). Nanoemulsions: Concepts, development, and applications in drug delivery. Journal of Controlled Release, 252, 28–49.
10. Date, A. A., & Patravale, V. B. (2004). Current strategies for engineering drug nanoparticles for delivery. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 93(8), 1858–1868.
11. Rao, S. V., & Shao, J. (2008). Self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery systems (SNEDDS) for oral delivery of lipophilic drugs. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 344(1–2), 2–9.
12. Patel, A. R., Vavia, P. R., & Pancholi, S. S. (2013). Formulation and pharmacokinetic evaluation of self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery system for atorvastatin. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 75(5), 491–498.
13. Lee, E. S., Gao, Z., & Bae, Y. H. (2008). Recent progress in tumor pH targeting nanotechnology. Journal of Controlled Release, 132(3), 164–170.
14. Sun, J., Bi, C., Chan, H. M., et al. (2011). Enhanced dissolution and oral bioavailability of an anti-HIV drug via the co-delivery of nanocrystals and nanoemulsions. Nanomedicine, 6(1), 145–152.

HOW TO CITE: Vishwajeet Chatekar, Pallavi Sutar, Shilpa Shinde, Sourabh Bhosale, Pranoti Patil, Pharmacokinetic Modeling of Nanoemulsions in Systematic Drug Delivery, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 1, 742-747. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14631442