

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES [ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA):IJPS00] Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com



**Research Article** 

# Development And Validation Of UV Method For Simultaneous Estimation Of Metoprolol Succinate And Azelnidipine In Pharmaceutical Dosage Form

# Yogita M. Mane<sup>1</sup>\*,Kale S. Sagar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of pharmaceutical chemistry, Sahyadri college of pharmacy, Methwade, Sangola <sup>2</sup> Associate Professor of Sahyadri College of Pharmacy, Methwade, Sangola

### ARTICLE INFO

| Received:    | 13 June 2024      |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Accepted:    | 17 June 2024      |
| Published:   | 04 July 2024      |
| Keywords:    |                   |
| Azelnidipine | e,Metoprolol      |
| Succinate, U | V                 |
| spectrophoto | ometry,validation |
| DOI:         |                   |
| 10.5281/zen  | odo.12658344      |
|              |                   |
|              |                   |

# ABSTRACT

The UV method for the estimation of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage Form was developed. The identification and quantification was carried out by using UV-VIS spectrophotometer. 0.1% Perchloric acid and Acetonitrile [50:50] used as Diluent for sample and standard preparation and also as a Blank. The wavelength of drug Metoprolol and Azelnidipine were found to be 224 nm and 260 nm respectively.LOD,LOQ values obtained from regression equations of metoprolol and azelnidipine were 1.23,3.72,0.31 and 0.94 $\mu$ g/ml. % Accuracy was obtained as 99.94 and 99.85 for Metoprolol and Azelnidipine respectively. %Recovery was obtained as 99.101 and 99.101 respectively. % RSD of the metoprolol and azelnidipine were and found to be 0.26 and 0.57 respectively. attempt has been made to develop UV and Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatographic method for the estimation of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form and to validate the developed method according to ICH Q2 (R1) guidelines.Analytical method development was started with the preliminary studies of the drug Metoprolol and Azelnidipine

# **INTRODUCTION**

Azelnidipine is a calcium channel blocker that belongs to the dihydropyridine class. Japan's Daiichi-Sankyo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is the company responsible for marketing it. When compared to other calcium channel blockers, it has a delayed onset of action and generates a longlasting drop in blood pressure. Patients who have hypertension can have a progressive reduction in their blood pressure when they take azelnidipine, which is a vasodilator. Because of its vasodilatory effects, azelnidipine does not cause reflex tachycardia, in contrast to other drugs that belong to the same medication class. Metoprolol is a

\*Corresponding Author: Yogita M. Mane

Address: Department of pharmaceutical chemistry, Sahyadri college of pharmacy, Methwade, Sangola

**Email** ≥: yogitamane5258@gmail.com

**Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures**: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

cardioselective beta-1-adrenergic receptor inhibitor that inhibits beta1 receptors in a competitive manner while having limited or no effects on beta-2 receptors when administered orally to humans in dosages of less than 100 mg. Through its negative inotropic and chronotropic actions, it brings to a reduction in cardiac output.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS:**

# **1. Method Parameters:**

a. Diluent: 0.1% Perchloric acid : Acetonitrile (50: 50%, v/v)

Preparation of 0.1% Perchloric acid:

Add 0.1 ml of Perchloric acid in 100 ml of Water, Mix and filtered.

b. Wavelength:  $\lambda 1 = 224$  nm;  $\lambda 2 = 260$  nm

# 2. Standard Preparation:

a. Metoprolol Standard Stock Solution-I (MSSS-I):

i. Initially Prepare a Standard Stock Solution (MSSS-I) of by adding 25 mg of Metoprolol in 10 ml volumetric flask & add 5 ml diluent, mix for 2 minutes and make the volume to 10 ml with diluent. (Conc. of Metoprolol =  $2500 \mu g/ml$ ).

# b. Azelnidipine Standard Stock Solution-II (ASSS-II):

i. Then prepare a Standard Stock Solution (ASSS-II) of Azelnidipine by adding 8 mg in 10 ml volumetric flask & add 5 ml diluent, mix for 2 minutes and make the volume to 10 ml with diluent. (Conc. of Azelnidipine =  $800 \mu g/ml$ ).

c. Then add 0.1 ml of MSSS-I &0.1 ml ASSS-I in 10 ml volumetric flask and add 5 ml diluent and vortex and make up the volume with diluent. (Conc. of Metoprolol=  $25 \mu g/ml$  &Azelnidipine =  $8 \mu g/ml$ ).

# 25µg/ml of MET Working Standard and 8µg/ml of AZD Working Standardwere scanned in the UV range of 190-400 nm. The overlay of both the spectrum was recorded. From the overlain spectra wavelengths 224 nm ( $\lambda$ max of MET) and 260 nm ( $\lambda$ max of AZD) were selected for analysis of both drugs using simultaneous method. ( $\lambda$ 1-224 nm and $\lambda$ 2-260 nm).

The absorbance at  $\lambda 1$  and  $\lambda 2$  was measured and the concentration was calculated using following formula;

$$Cx = \frac{A2ay1 - A1ay2}{ax2ay1 - ax1ay2}$$
$$Cy = \frac{A1ax2 - A2ax1}{ax2ay1 - ax1ay2}$$

Where,

Cx and Cy are the concentrations of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine, respectively,

A1 and A2 are the absorbances of sample at  $\lambda 1$  and  $\lambda 2$ , respectively,

ax1 and ax2 are the absorptivity of Metoprolol at  $\lambda$ 1 and  $\lambda$ 2, respectively,

ay1 and ay2 are the absorptivity of Azelnidipine at  $\lambda$ 1 and  $\lambda$ 2, respectively.

# **UV Method Validation**

a. Linearity:

- i. 5 samples of varying concentrations ranging from 80% to 120% were made.
- ii. The concentrations are given below
- iii. The sample preparations are given as below;
- iv. X ml of BSSS-I and Y ml of MSSS-II was diluted to 10 ml.

| 3. | Selection | of | Wavel | length: |   |
|----|-----------|----|-------|---------|---|
|    |           |    |       |         | - |

| X ml of MSSS-<br>I | Y ml of ASSS-<br>II | Diluted to | Conc. of MET (µg/ml) | Conc. of AZD (µg/ml) |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0.08               | 0.08                | 10 ml      | 20                   | 6.4                  |
| 0.09               | 0.09                | 10 ml      | 22.5                 | 7.2                  |
| 0.10               | 0.10                | 10 ml      | 25                   | 8                    |
| 0.11               | 0.11                | 10 ml      | 27.5                 | 8.8                  |
| 0.12               | 0.12                | 10 ml      | 30                   | 9.6                  |



# b. LOD/LOQ:

Can be calculated by using AVONA Technique.

$$LOD = \frac{3.3 \times Std \, Error \, of \, Intercept}{Coefficient \, of \, X \, variable \, 1}$$

 $\textit{LOD} = \frac{10 \times \textit{Std Error of Intercept}}{\textit{Coefficient of X variable 1}}$ 

# c. Repeatability :

A single sample was prepared as described and 6 injections were made from same sample; checked for RSD.

# d. Accuracy:

- i. Samples were made of 80%, 100% and 120% concentration as per Table 1.
- ii. Samples were injected in triplicate to calculate % RSD.
- iii. % recovery was also calculated.

| % Conc | MET Conc.<br>(µg/ml) | AZD Conc.<br>(µg/ml) |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 80     | 12                   | 36                   |
| 100    | 15                   | 45                   |
| 120    | 18                   | 54                   |

# d. Intra- & Inter-day Precision:

- The working standard and drug product samples were freshly prepared and analysed in morning and evening for Intra-day precision.
- The same working standard and drug product were used for analysis on 2nd day for interday precision.
- % RSD for Assay was calculated for the confirmation of precision.

# **RESULT :-**

# UV method

# Selection of Wavelength

The Standard and Sample solution was scanned from 190 to 400 nm by using UV-VIS spectrophotometer against Diluent (0.1% Perchloric acid: Acetonitrile (50:50)) as blank and the maximum absorption of standard and sample solution were recorded.

# **Result:**

- a. The maximum absorption for Metoprolol was found to be 224 nm.
- b. The maximum absorption for Azelnidipine was found to be 260 nm.

The UV scans for both the drugs is given below:



Figure 1: UV Scan of Metoprolol





Figure 2: UV Scan of Azelnidipine

UV Method Validation of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine

# a. Specificity

It was confirmed with blank and working standard run that there was zero absorbance of blank at set lambda in UV Spectrophotometer.

# b. Linearity

The peak response is directly proportional to the concentration of drug and was found to be linear in the range of  $8-12\mu$ g/ml.

The linearity data for Metoprolol and Azelnidipine is give below:

| % Level | Concentration<br>(ug/ml) | Absorbance |
|---------|--------------------------|------------|
| 80      | 20                       | 0.588      |
| 90      | 22.5                     | 0.659      |
| 100     | 25                       | 0.734      |
| 110     | 27.5                     | 0.809      |
| 120     | 30                       | 0.891      |



Figure 3: Linearity graph of Metoprolol



Yogita M. Mane , Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2024, Vol 2, Issue 7, 292-300 |Research

| % Level | Concentration<br>(ug/ml) | Absorbance |
|---------|--------------------------|------------|
| 80      | 6.4                      | 0.221      |
| 90      | 7.2                      | 0.247      |
| 100     | 8                        | 0.277      |
| 110     | 8.8                      | 0.304      |
| 120     | 9.6                      | 0.331      |

 Table 3: Linearity data for Azelnidipine





From the above data it was found that the correlation coefficient was found to be 0.999 for both the drugs i.e. Metoprolol and Azelnidipine respectively, which was found to be within the acceptance criteria of 0.998.

**C. LOD and LOQ** Based on the linearity data, LOD and LOQ was calculated and reported as below:

| <b>Regression Statistics</b> |             |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Multiple R                   | 0.99967472  |  |  |
| R Square                     | 0.999349546 |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square            | 0.999132728 |  |  |
| Standard Error               | 0.003521363 |  |  |
| Observations                 | 5           |  |  |

# Table 4: LOD & LOQ of Metoprolol

# ANOVA

|            | df | SS        | MS        | F          | Significance F |
|------------|----|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| Regression | 1  | 0.0571536 | 0.0571536 | 4609.16129 | 7.04204E-06    |
| Residual   | 3  | 3.72E-05  | 1.24E-05  |            |                |
| Total      | 4  | 0.0571908 |           |            |                |



### Yogita M. Mane , Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2024, Vol 2, Issue 7, 292-300 |Research

|              | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat      | P-value     |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Intercept    | -0.0198      | 0.011246333    | -1.76057391 | 0.176533624 |
| X Variable 1 | 0.03024      | 0.000445421    | 67.89080417 | 7.04204E-06 |

| LOD | 1.23 | ug/ml |
|-----|------|-------|
| LOQ | 3.72 | ug/ml |

### Table 5: LOD & LOQ of Azelnidipine

| Regression Statistics |             |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Multiple R            | 0.999798052 |  |  |
| R Square              | 0.999596144 |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square     | 0.999461525 |  |  |
| Standard Error        | 0.00101653  |  |  |
| Observations          | 5           |  |  |

# ANOVA

|            | df | SS        | MS          | F           | Significance F |
|------------|----|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Regression | 1  | 0.0076729 | 0.0076729   | 7425.387097 | 3.44494E-06    |
| Residual   | 3  | 3.1E-06   | 1.03333E-06 |             |                |
| Total      | 4  | 0.007676  |             |             |                |

|              | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat       | P-value     |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Intercept    | -0.001       | 0.003246537    | -0.308020552 | 0.778214513 |
| X Variable 1 | 0.034625     | 0.000401819    | 86.17068583  | 3.44494E-06 |

| LOD | 0.31 | ug/ml |
|-----|------|-------|
| LOQ | 0.94 | ug/ml |

From the above data it was found that:

1. The LOD & LOQ for Metoprolol were found to be  $1.23\mu g/ml$  and  $3.72\mu g/ml$ .

2. The LOD & LOQ for Azelnidipine were

found to be 0.31  $\mu g/ml$  and 0.94  $\mu g/ml.$ 

# d. Repeatability

Repeatability was performed for both the APIs, the recorded absorbance is shown below:

| able 6: Repeatability of Metoprolol and Azelnidipir |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID   | MET ABS | AZD ABS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100% Rep 1  | 0.734   | 0.277   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100% Rep 2  | 0.735   | 0.275   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100% Rep 3  | 0.738   | 0.278   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100% Rep 4  | 0.739   | 0.274   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100% Rep 5  | 0.731   | 0.279   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100% Rep 6  | 0.736   | 0.275   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG   | 0.736   | 0.276   |  |  |  |  |  |
| STDEV   | 0.003   | 0.00    |  |  |  |  |  |
| %RSD  | 0.39    | 0.71    |  |  |  |  |  |

### ıe

From the above data, it can be seen that the %RSD for 6 replicate injections of Metoprolol and

Azelnidipine are 0.39% and 0.71% respectively. The percentage RSD (<2) values obtained showed



that the method developed was precise at repeatability precision level.

The accuracy was performed at 3 different levels i.e. 80%, 100% and 120%. The accuracy data for Metoprolol and Azelnidipine is given below:

# e. Accuracy

| %<br>Level | Reps  | Spiked<br>Conc<br>(ug/ml) | Abs   | Amount<br>Recovered<br>(ug/ml) | %<br>Recovery | AVG   | STD<br>EV | %RSD | %<br>Level |
|------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|-----------|------|------------|
|            | Rep 1 | 20.00                     | 0.588 | 19.97                          | 99.86         | Rep 1 |           |      |            |
| 80         | Rep 2 | 20.00                     | 0.591 | 20.07                          | 100.37        | Rep 2 | 99.81     | 0.60 | 0.60       |
|            | Rep 3 | 20.00                     | 0.584 | 19.84                          | 99.18         | Rep 3 |           |      |            |
|            | Rep 1 | 25.00                     | 0.734 | 24.93                          | 99.73         | Rep 1 |           |      |            |
| 100        | Rep 2 | 25.00                     | 0.735 | 24.97                          | 99.86         | Rep 2 | 99.95     | 0.28 | 0.28       |
|            | Rep 3 | 25.00                     | 0.738 | 25.07                          | 100.27        | Rep 3 |           |      |            |
|            | Rep 1 | 30.00                     | 0.891 | 30.26                          | 100.88        | Rep 1 | 100.0     |      |            |
| 120        | Rep 2 | 30.00                     | 0.896 | 30.43                          | 101.45        | Rep 2 | 100.8     | 0.62 | 0.62       |
|            | Rep 3 | 30.00                     | 0.885 | 30.06                          | 100.20        | Rep 3 | 5         |      |            |

**Table 7: Accuracy of Metoprolol** 

- The %RSD of three replicates of Metoprolol for accuracy level 80%, 100% and 120% was found to be 0.60%, 0.28% and 0.62% respectively.
- The % recoveries for accuracy level 80%, 100% and 120% was found to be 99.81%, 99.95% and 100.85% respectively.

| %<br>Level | Reps  | Spiked<br>Conc<br>(ug/ml) | Abs   | Amount<br>Recovered<br>(ug/ml) | %<br>Recovery | AVG   | STD<br>EV | RSD  | %<br>Level |
|------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|-----------|------|------------|
|            | Rep 1 | 6.40                      | 0.221 | 6.41                           | 100.09        | Rep 1 | 99.34     | 0.69 | 0.70       |
| 80         | Rep 2 | 6.40                      | 0.219 | 6.35                           | 99.18         | Rep 2 |           |      |            |
|            | Rep 3 | 6.40                      | 0.218 | 6.32                           | 98.73         | Rep 3 |           |      |            |
|            | Rep 1 | 8.00                      | 0.277 | 8.03                           | 100.36        | Rep 1 | 100.2     | 0.55 | 0.55       |
| 100        | Rep 2 | 8.00                      | 0.275 | 7.97                           | 99.64         | Rep 2 | 100.2     |      |            |
|            | Rep 3 | 8.00                      | 0.278 | 8.06                           | 100.72        | Rep 3 | 4         |      |            |
|            | Rep 1 | 9.60                      | 0.331 | 9.59                           | 99.94         | Rep 1 |           |      |            |
| 120        | Rep 2 | 9.60                      | 0.329 | 9.54                           | 99.34         | Rep 2 | 99.94     | 0.60 | 0.60       |
|            | Rep 3 | 9.60                      | 0.333 | 9.65                           | 100.54        | Rep 3 |           |      |            |

# **Table 8: Accuracy of Azelnidipine**

- The %RSD of three replicates of Azelnidipine for accuracy level 80%, 100% and 120% was found to be 0.70%, 0.55% and 0.60% respectively.
- The % recoveries for accuracy level 80%, 100% and 120% was found to be 99.34%, 100.24 % and 99.94% respectively.

# f. Intra & Inter day Precision

The Standard solution of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine were examine for Intra and Inter day Precision, the data is shown below:



# Yogita M. Mane , Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2024, Vol 2, Issue 7, 292-300 |Research

|           | Sampla |            | Met     | oprolol | Azelnidipine |       |
|-----------|--------|------------|---------|---------|--------------|-------|
| Condition | ID     | e Interval | Conc    | % Assay | Conc         | %     |
|           |        |            | (ug/ml) |         | (ug/ml)      | Assay |
| Intraday  | WS     | Mrng       | 25.00   | -       | 8.00         | -     |
|           | DP     | Mrng       | 25.03   | 100.12  | 7.98         | 99.75 |
|           | WS     | Evng       | 25.00   | -       | 8.00         | -     |
| Interday  | DP     | Evng       | 24.94   | 99.76   | 7.94         | 99.25 |
|           | WS     | Day 2      | 25.00   | -       | 8.00         | -     |
|           | DP     | Day 2      | 24.93   | 99.72   | 7.96         | 99.50 |
| % RSD     |        |            |         | 0.22    | % RSD        | 0.25  |

| Table 9: Intra | & Inter da | v Precision | of Metoprolo     | and Azelnidipine |
|----------------|------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
|                |            |             | 01 1.1000 01 010 |                  |

- The % Assay for Metoprolol for Morning, Evening and Day 2 were found to be 100.20%, 99.76% and 99.72%, respectively.
- The % Assay for Azelnidipine for Morning, Evening and Day 2 were found to be 99.75%, 99.25% and 99.50%, respectively.
- The %RSD for intra and Inter day Precision of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine were found to be 0.22% and 0.25%, respectively.
- Hence, the working standard Metoprolol and Azelnidipine is stable for 2 days as no significant variation was observed.

# G. Assay

The data of the Assay of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine is given below:

|        | Metopro | lol    | Azelnidipine |        |  |
|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------|--|
| Sample | Conc    | %      | Conc         | %      |  |
|        | (ug/ml) | Assay  | (ug/ml)      | Assay  |  |
| DP-1   | 24.98   | 99.92  | 8.03         | 100.38 |  |
| DP-2   | 24.93   | 99.72  | 8.04         | 100.50 |  |
| DP-3   | 25.04   | 100.16 | 7.95         | 99.38  |  |
| DP-4   | 25.06   | 100.24 | 7.98         | 99.75  |  |
| DP-5   | 24.91   | 99.64  | 7.94         | 99.25  |  |
| AVG    |         | 99.94  | AVG          | 99.85  |  |
| STDEV  |         | 0.26   | STDEV        | 0.57   |  |
| %RSD   |         | 0.26   | %RSD         | 0.57   |  |

# Table 10: Assay of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine

- The average assay for Metoprolol and Azelnidipine were found to be 99.94% and 99.85% respectively.
- The %RSD for assay of Metoprolol was found to b 0.26%.
- The %RSD for assay of Metoprolol was found to b 0.57%.

# CONCLUSION

The UV method developed for the estimation of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine was validated as per the ICH guidelines. Validation data demonstrates that, these methods are accurate, precise, simple, and economic and can be used in the routine analysis of Metoprolol and Azelnidipine in various formulations.

# REFERENCE

- 1. Harris DC. Quantitative Chemical Analysis. 7th ed, 3rd printing. W. H. Freeman; 2007.
- Diffey BL. Sources and measurement of ultraviolet radiation. Methods. 2002;28(1):413.
- 3. Namioka T. Diffraction Gratings. In: Vacuum Ultraviolet Spectroscopy. Vol 1.



Experimental Methods in Physical Sciences. Elsevier; 2000:347-377.

- Mortimer Abramowitz and Michael W. Davidson. Photomultiplier Tubes. Molecular Expressions. Accessed April 25, 2021. https://micro.magnet.fsu.edu/primer/digitali maging/concepts/photomultipliers.html
- Picollo M, Aceto M, Vitorino T. UV-Vis spectroscopy. Phys Sci Rev. 2019;4(4). doi:10.1515/psr-2018-0008
- What is a Photodiode? Working, Characteristics, Applications. Published online October 30, 2018. Accessed April 29, 2021.

https://www.electronicshub.org/photodiodeworking-characteristics-applications/

- Amelio G. Charge-Coupled Devices. Scientific American. 1974;230(2):22-31. http://www.jstor.org/stable/24950003
- Hackteria. DIY NanoDrop. Accessed June 15, 2021.

https://hackteria.org/wiki/File:NanoDropCon ceptSpectrometer2.png

- 9. Sharpe MR. Stray light in UV-VIS spectrophotometers. Anal Chem. 1984;56(2):339A-356A.
- 10. Liu P-F, Avramova LV, Park C. Revisiting absorbance at 230nm as a protein unfolding probe. Anal Biochem. 2009;389(2):165-170.

- 11. Kalb V., Bernlohr R. A New Spectrophotometric Assay for Protein in Cell Extracts. Anal Biochem. 1977;82:362-371.
- Bosch Ojeda C, Sanchez Rojas F. Recent applications in derivative ultraviolet/visible absorption spectrophotometry: 2009–2011. Microchem J. 2013;106:1-16.
- 13. Domingo C, Saurina J. An overview of the analytical characterization of nanostructured drug delivery systems: Towards green and sustainable pharmaceuticals: A review. Anal Chim Acta. 2012;744:8-22.
- 14. Kristo E, Hazizaj A, Corredig M. Structural Changes Imposed on Whey Proteins by UV Irradiation in a Continuous UV Light Reactor. J Agric Food Chem. 2012;60(24):6204-6209
- Lange R, Balny C. UV-visible derivative spectroscopy under high pressure. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Protein Struct Mol Enzymol. 2002;1595(1-2):80-93.

HOW TO CITE: Yogita M. Mane ,Kale S. Sagar , Development And Validation Of UV Method For Simultaneous Estimation Of Metoprolol Succinate And Azelnidipine In Pharmaceutical Dosage Form, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2024, Vol 2, Issue 7, 292-300. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12658344

