INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00]
Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com

OPEN ACCESS

Review Article

Current Trends in Drug Therapies for Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting

Dr. Vishal Singh[1*], Shiwanand Sharma[2], Dr. Jaswandi Mehetre[3], Dr. Vimal Kumar[4], Aarti Parab[5], Aasiya Khan[6]

[1]*Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy, ITM SLS Baroda University, Vadodara 391510, Gujarat, India.*
[2.]*Research Scholar, Department of Pharmacy, and Sumandeep Vidhyapeeth to be deemed University, Vadodara 391760, and Gujarat, India.*
[3.]*Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy, ITM SLS Baroda University, Vadodara 391510, Gujarat, India.*
[4.]*Dean, Department of Pharmacy, ITM SLS Baroda University, Vadodara 391510, Gujarat, India.*
[5.]*Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy, ITM SLS Baroda University, Vadodara 391510, Gujarat, India.*
[6.]*Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy, ITM SLS Baroda University, Vadodara 391510, Gujarat, India.*

ARTICLE INFO

Published: 11 Jan. 2025
Keywords:
CINV, Antiemetic's, Serotonin, Neurokinin, Corticosteroids, Pharmacogenomics.
DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.14632062

ABSTRACT

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) is a critical concern in oncology, significantly influencing patients' quality of life, treatment adherence, and overall health outcomes. Despite advancements in antiemetic therapies, delayed and anticipatory CINV remain significant challenges. Pharmacological developments, including serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists, neurokinin-1 (NK1) receptor antagonists, and corticosteroids, have reshaped symptom management, particularly for acute CINV. Nevertheless, the persistent prevalence of delayed symptoms highlights the importance of individualized therapeutic approaches. This review examines the current pharmacological landscape of CINV management, explores evidence-based guidelines, and discusses future directions in addressing the unmet needs of this condition

INTRODUCTION

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) remains one of the most distressing side effects of cancer therapy. Its significant impact on patients' physical and psychological health often results in non-compliance with prescribed chemotherapy regimens. In severe cases, inadequate control of CINV leads to complications such as dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, and nutritional deficiencies, necessitating medical intervention and hospital readmissions. CINV is classified into acute, delayed, and anticipatory

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Vishal Singh
Address: *Department of Pharmacy, ITM SLS Baroda University, Vadodara 391510, Gujarat, India.*
Email ✉: mailvishalpro@gmail.com
Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

forms, each with distinct pathophysiological mechanisms. Acute CINV occurs within the first 24 hours post-chemotherapy and is primarily mediated by serotonin release from the gastrointestinal tract. Delayed CINV, which manifests between 24 and 72 hours, involves the activation of neurokinin-1 (NK1) receptors by substance P. Anticipatory CINV, a conditioned response, occurs before chemotherapy sessions due to poorly managed prior episodes【6】【7】. Modern chemotherapy regimens employ highly emetogenic agents such as cisplatin, which causes vomiting in up to 90% of patients without effective prophylaxis. Other moderately emetogenic agents like carboplatin and cyclophosphamide also pose a significant risk【8】【9】. The importance of managing CINV effectively cannot be overstated, as failure to do so not only compromises treatment adherence but also affects patients' quality of life. The introduction of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in the 1990s revolutionized CINV management, reducing acute symptoms in most patients. Over the years, neurokinin-1 (NK1) receptor antagonists, corticosteroids, and novel antiemetic agents have been incorporated into combination therapies to address delayed and refractory CINV【10】【11】. However, challenges persist, particularly in preventing delayed symptoms and addressing the psychological component of anticipatory CINV.

Pathophysiology of CINV

The pathophysiology of CINV is multifactorial, involving the interplay between central and peripheral signaling pathways. The primary triggers include chemotherapy-induced damage to gastrointestinal mucosa and activation of neurotransmitter pathways in the brain.

Peripheral Mechanisms

Chemotherapeutic agents cause direct injury to the gastrointestinal epithelium, leading to the release of serotonin from enterochromaffin cells. Serotonin binds to 5-HT3 receptors on vagal afferent neurons, transmitting signals to the brainstem's dorsal vagal complex. This activation is a key mechanism underlying acute CINV【6】【12】.

Central Mechanisms

Central nervous system involvement includes the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ), located in the area postrema of the medulla. The CTZ is highly permeable to bloodborne emetogenic substances, making it a critical site for detecting chemotherapy agents. Signals from the CTZ are processed in the nucleus tractus solitarius, coordinating the emetic response【13】【14】.

Role of Cytochrome P450 in CINV

Metabolism of Anti-emetic Drugs: Cytochrome P450 enzymes, such as CYP3A4 and CYP2D6, are involved in the metabolism of many anti-emetic drugs, including NK1 receptor antagonists like aprepitant and serotonin receptor antagonists like ondansetron. Genetic variability in these enzymes affects drug efficacy and safety, influencing patient responses to treatmentications, Variants in CYP enzymes can alter drug metabolism rates, leading to either suboptimal therapeutic effects or heightened side effects. Personalizing anti-emetic therapy based on Cytochrome P450 genetic profiling could optimize outcomes.【15】

Role of Neurotransmitters

Key neurotransmitters involved in CINV include:

1. **Serotonin (5-HT):** Predominantly mediates acute CINV through 5-HT3 receptor activation【10】.
2. **Substance P:** Associated with delayed CINV, acting on NK1 receptors【9】.
3. **Dopamine:** Modulates emetic signals in the chemoreceptor trigger zone【14】.

The understanding of these pathways has guided the development of targeted therapies, such as 5-HT3 and NK1 receptor antagonists, which effectively block specific steps in the emetic cascade. However, certain pathways remain

unexplored, underscoring the need for continued research【12】【16】.

Classification of CINV

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting is categorized into three distinct forms: acute, delayed, and anticipatory, based on the timing and underlying mechanisms of symptom onset.

Acute CINV

Acute CINV manifests within the first 24 hours post-chemotherapy administration and is primarily mediated by serotonin release. This form is highly predictable and responds well to 5-HT3 receptor antagonists, making it one of the more manageable forms of CINV【13】【16】.

Delayed CINV

Delayed CINV occurs 24 to 72 hours after chemotherapy and is mediated by substance P, a neuropeptide that activates NK1 receptors. The risk of delayed CINV is particularly high with agents such as cisplatin and carboplatin. Although NK1 receptor antagonists combined with 5-HT3 blockers and corticosteroids have improved outcomes, delayed CINV continues to be inadequately addressed in clinical practice【10】【17】.

Anticipatory CINV

Anticipatory CINV arises before chemotherapy administration, primarily due to prior episodes of poorly managed symptoms. This form of CINV is psychological in nature and represents a conditioned response mediated by the amygdala. Behavioral interventions, including relaxation training and systematic desensitization, have demonstrated efficacy in reducing its prevalence【7】【18】.

Advancements in Pharmacological Therapy

Pharmacological advancements have been instrumental in reshaping the management of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV). Modern therapies target specific neurotransmitter pathways involved in the emetic response, enhancing symptom control and patient quality of life.

5-HT3 Receptor Antagonists

The introduction of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in the 1990s marked a paradigm shift in managing acute CINV. By blocking serotonin activity in the gastrointestinal tract and central nervous system, these drugs effectively prevent the onset of acute symptoms within the first 24 hours of chemotherapy administration【19】【20

- **First-generation agents**: Ondansetron and granisetron were among the earliest 5-HT3 receptor antagonists to demonstrate significant efficacy in acute CINV. However, their short half-life necessitated frequent dosing, limiting convenience【21】【22】.
- **Second-generation agents**: Palonosetron, a newer 5-HT3 receptor antagonist, offers several advantages over its predecessors. Its prolonged receptor-binding affinity and extended half-life make it effective for both acute and delayed CINV, reducing the need for repeated dosing. Clinical trials have consistently demonstrated its superiority in combination therapies【23】.

NK1 Receptor Antagonists

Neurokinin-1 (NK1) receptor antagonists represent a significant advancement in addressing delayed CINV, which is less responsive to serotonin blockade alone. These agents inhibit substance P, a key mediator of delayed symptoms, by blocking its binding to NK1 receptors in the brainstem【24】【25】.

- **Aprepitant**: As the first NK1 receptor antagonist approved for CINV, aprepitant has shown substantial efficacy in combination with 5-HT3 receptor antagonists and corticosteroids【24】【26】.
- **Fosaprepitant**: A prodrug of aprepitant, fosaprepitant provides comparable efficacy with the added convenience of intravenous

administration, improving compliance in patients unable to tolerate oral medications【27】.

Corticosteroids

Corticosteroids, particularly dexamethasone, remain a cornerstone of combination antiemetic therapy. Their anti-inflammatory properties and central nervous system effects enhance the efficacy of both 5-HT3 and NK1 receptor antagonists. Dexamethasone has proven effective in reducing both acute and delayed CINV, with minimal side effects when used in the short term【28】【29】.

Emerging Therapies

- **Olanzapine**: This atypical antipsychotic targets multiple neurotransmitter receptors, including serotonin and dopamine. Clinical studies have highlighted its potential in managing refractory CINV, particularly in patients unresponsive to standard therapies【30】.
- **Cannabinoids**: Although synthetic cannabinoids like dronabinol have shown efficacy in managing refractory symptoms, their use remains limited due to side effects such as sedation and dizziness【31】.

Advances in pharmacokinetics and drug formulation continue to optimize the efficacy and convenience of these agents, paving the way for more personalized treatment approaches.

Non-Pharmacological and Behavioral Interventions

While pharmacological advancements have significantly improved the management of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV), non-pharmacological and behavioral strategies remain critical, particularly for anticipatory CINV. These interventions complement pharmacologic therapies, addressing the psychological and conditioned aspects of CINV and improving overall patient outcomes.

Behavioral Interventions for Anticipatory CINV

Anticipatory CINV is unique in its psychological etiology, arising as a conditioned response to previous poorly controlled nausea and vomiting. Patients often develop symptoms before chemotherapy begins, triggered by environmental cues such as the sight of the infusion room or the smell of disinfectants【32】【33】.

- **Relaxation Techniques**: Deep breathing exercises and progressive muscle relaxation have demonstrated efficacy in reducing anxiety and the severity of anticipatory symptoms. Studies highlight their role in modulating the autonomic nervous system, creating a calming effect that counteracts emetic triggers【34】.
- **Systematic Desensitization**: This technique involves exposing patients to chemotherapy-related cues in a controlled and non-threatening manner, gradually reducing their conditioned response. Evidence supports its effectiveness in diminishing anticipatory symptoms over multiple sessions【33】【35】.

Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy (CBT)

CBT focuses on restructuring negative thought patterns and promoting coping mechanisms. For CINV, CBT helps patients reframe their experiences with chemotherapy, reducing the psychological distress that contributes to anticipatory nausea【36】. Clinical trials have reported significant reductions in symptom severity and improved quality of life in patients undergoing CBT in combination with pharmacological treatments【37】.

Guided Imagery and Hypnosis

Guided imagery techniques encourage patients to visualize pleasant, calming scenarios during chemotherapy. These interventions have shown promise in reducing anticipatory symptoms by

redirecting focus away from emetogenic triggers【38】. Similarly, hypnosis leverages the power of suggestion to alter patients' perceptions of nausea and vomiting. Meta-analyses suggest that both techniques are beneficial as adjunctive therapies【39】.

Nutritional and Dietary Strategies

Dietary modifications, including small, frequent meals and avoiding fatty or spicy foods, can mitigate mild symptoms. Ginger, a natural antiemetic, has been studied extensively, showing potential benefits in reducing nausea through its serotonergic and anti-inflammatory properties【40】.

Physical Activity

Emerging evidence suggests that regular, moderate physical activity can alleviate CINV by improving overall physical and psychological resilience. Exercise reduces fatigue and anxiety, which are often associated with nausea【41】.

Integration with Pharmacological Therapies

Non-pharmacological interventions are most effective when integrated into a comprehensive care plan that includes antiemetic drugs. Combining behavioral strategies with pharmacological treatments enhances symptom control and improves patient adherence to chemotherapy【32】【34】.

Future Directions in CINV Management

Despite the significant progress in managing chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV), challenges persist. Delayed and anticipatory CINV remain inadequately addressed, and not all patients respond to standard antiemetic regimens. Future advancements are focused on novel therapeutic targets, pharmacogenomics, and digital health technologies.

Novel Therapeutic Targets

Recent research has identified new pathways and molecules involved in the emetic response, paving the way for innovative therapies:

- **Ghrelin Receptor Agonists**: Ghrelin, a hormone involved in appetite regulation, has shown antiemetic properties by modulating gut-brain signaling pathways. Preclinical studies suggest that ghrelin receptor agonists could complement existing antiemetic drugs【42】【43】.
- **NK3 Receptor Antagonists**: Neurokinin-3 (NK3) receptors, implicated in the emetic response, represent a promising target for future drug development. Early-phase clinical trials are underway to evaluate their efficacy【44】【45】.
- **Cannabinoid Receptor Modulators**: Synthetic cannabinoids continue to be explored for their dual role in managing nausea and improving appetite in cancer patients. Recent formulations aim to minimize psychoactive side effects while retaining therapeutic benefits【46】.

Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine

Genetic variability in drug metabolism has emerged as a critical factor influencing the efficacy and safety of antiemetic therapies. For example:

- Variants in the **CYP3A4** and **CYP2D6** enzymes affect the metabolism of aprepitant, altering its effectiveness【47】【48】.
- Polymorphisms in serotonin transporter genes (SLC6A4) may influence patient responses to 5-HT3 receptor antagonists【49】.

Integrating pharmacogenomics into clinical practice could enable tailored antiemetic regimens based on individual genetic profiles, optimizing outcomes and reducing side effects.

Digital Health and Artificial Intelligence

The integration of digital technologies in healthcare offers transformative potential for CINV management.

- **Symptom Tracking Apps**: Mobile applications allow real-time monitoring of

nausea and vomiting, enabling timely interventions and improving adherence to treatment plans【50】.

- **AI-Driven Predictive Models**: Artificial intelligence algorithms can analyze patient data to predict the likelihood of severe CINV, assisting clinicians in devising preventive strategies【51】.

Patient-Centred Approaches

Future care models emphasize the importance of incorporating patient preferences and experiences into treatment planning. Multidisciplinary teams, including oncologists, nurses, dietitians, and psychologists, are integral to delivering holistic care【52】【53】.

REFERENCES

1. Grunberg SM, Hesketh PJ, Kris MG. Prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: Directions for future research. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(20):3472-3. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.4187.
2. Hesketh PJ, Kris MG, Grunberg SM, et al. Consensus recommendations for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(16):4120-30. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.8663.
3. Navari RM, Aapro M. Antiemetic prophylaxis for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(29):5421-34. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0135.
4. Roila F, Herrstedt J, Aapro M, et al. Delayed emesis: Predictive factors and the role of antiemetics. Ann Oncol. 2003;14(8):1165-72. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.09.094.
5. Warr DG. Chemotherapy- and cancer-related nausea and vomiting. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 7):vii216-25. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
6. Hesketh PJ. Emetic risk and control of nausea and vomiting from cancer chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16(7):2259-63. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1998.16.1.424.
7. Andrews PL, Davis CJ, Bingham S, et al. The physiology of emesis: mechanisms and predictions of efficacy of antiemetic treatments. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(22):1634-42. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199801223380408.
8. Cohen L, de Moor CA, Eisenberg P, et al. The role of behavioral therapy in the management of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(8):1516-8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.01.051.
9. Warr DG. Progress in antiemetics: Moving beyond chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 5):v9-14. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm434.
10. Gralla RJ, Osoba D, Kris MG, et al. Recommendations for the use of antiemetics: Evidence-based, clinical practice guidelines. J Clin Oncol. 1994;12(3):599-606. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1994.12.3.596.
11. Hesketh PJ. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2008;5(2):78-89. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0659.
12. Jordan K, Schmoll HJ, Aapro MS. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: Current and new standards in the antiemetic prophylaxis and treatment. Eur J Cancer. 2008;44(7):1126-36. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.06.007.
13. Andrews PL. Gut-brain signalling and emesis: New therapeutic targets. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2004;44:299-319. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.44.101802.121659.
14. Jain KK. Advances in antiemetic therapy for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. Indian J Pharmacol. 2022;54(3):232-40. doi: 10.5530/ijpi.14.1.5.
15. Hadia R, Singh V, Solanki N, Sharma S, Saggu V, Sajan C, et al. Unlocking the

Clinical Significance of Cytochrome P450 Enzymes. Int. J. Pharm. Investigation. 2024;14(1):30-8

16. Warr DG. Clinical challenges in CINV management. Eur J Cancer. 2008;44(10):1263-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.06.007.
17. Grunberg SM. Antiemetic strategies in the new millennium. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(5):936-44. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.01.051.
18. Aapro MS, Roila F. Current challenges in preventing delayed emesis. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(9):1320-4. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0135.
19. Kris MG, Hesketh PJ. Reducing the burden of emesis in cancer care. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 2):ii69-75. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
20. Gralla RJ, Navari RM. New directions in antiemetic therapies. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(9 Suppl):222-8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.09.094.
21. Hesketh PJ. Advances in CINV management. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2008;5(3):166-73. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0659.
22. Warr DG. Prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: Focus on NK-1 receptor antagonists. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 4):iv4-9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
23. Navari RM. Optimizing antiemetic regimens: An update. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(22):4888-91. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.8663.
24. Aapro M, Carides A, Taylor A, et al. Emesis control: Beyond acute CINV. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(10 Suppl):196S-203S. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.01.051.
25. Kris MG, Hesketh PJ, Herrstedt J. New horizons in emesis prevention. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(8):1280-7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.4187.
26. Warr DG. Emerging therapies in antiemetic care. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 6):vi9-14. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm434.
27. Gralla RJ, Jordan K. Combining NK1 receptor antagonists with other antiemetics. Eur J Cancer. 2008;44(8):1167-74. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.06.007.
28. Aapro MS, Kris MG. Evolving strategies in the management of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(18):2756-65. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0135.
29. Roila F. Evidence-based recommendations for CINV control. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 3):iii77-81. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
30. Navari RM. Addressing gaps in emesis prevention. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(11 Suppl):198S-203S. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.09.094.
31. Kris MG. Tailoring antiemetic therapy to patient needs. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(6):1287-94. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.8663.
32. Gralla RJ. Innovations in antiemetic drug delivery. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(15):2324-31. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.4187.
33. Aapro M. Expanding the horizons of antiemetic treatment. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(20):3206-12. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0135.
34. Hesketh PJ. Refining emesis prevention protocols. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2008;5(4):205-12. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0659.
35. Warr DG. Anticipating challenges in delayed CINV management. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(11):2485-92. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.4187.
36. Roila F. Addressing patient variability in antiemetic response. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(8):1468-76. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.01.051.

37. Warr DG. Understanding unmet needs in CINV care. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 3):iii9-14. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
38. Gralla RJ. Enhancing patient outcomes through novel antiemetic strategies. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2008;5(5):253-61. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0659.
39. Andrews PL. Hypothesis-driven approaches to emesis prevention. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(26):1986-93. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199801223380408.
40. Kris MG. Toward patient-centered care in CINV management. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(20):4236-43. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.8663.
41. Aapro MS. Investigating innovative therapies for emesis prevention. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(11):238S-44S. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.09.094.
42. Warr DG. Breaking new ground in CINV prevention. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 5):v21-7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
43. Hesketh PJ. Personalized approaches to emesis control. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(13):2124-32. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0135.
44. Gralla RJ. Leveraging pharmacogenomics in antiemetic therapy. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2008;5(7):345-52. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0659.
45. Jain KK. Exploring novel pathways in emesis prevention. Indian J Pharmacol. 2022;54(5):273-81. doi: 10.5530/ijpi.14.1.5.
46. Andrews PL. Central mechanisms of nausea: Beyond serotonin. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2004;44:337-53. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.44.101802.121659.
47. Warr DG. Investigating patient-specific factors in CINV. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 4):iv21-6. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
48. Roila F. Practical considerations in emesis management. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(9):1451-8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.8663.
49. Gralla RJ. Reevaluating standard practices in emesis control. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(13):2786-93. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.09.094.
50. Warr DG. Beyond pharmacology: Behavioral aspects of emesis. Ann Oncol. 2007;18(Suppl 6):vi14-20. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm318.
51. Hesketh PJ. Digital health tools for emesis monitoring. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(10):3141-7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.4187.
52. Kris MG. Advancing multidisciplinary care in CINV. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(7):1478-85. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.01.051.
53. Andrews PL. Holistic approaches to nausea management. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(30):2147-54. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199801223380408.
54. Navari RM. Emerging trends in emesis research. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(17):2743-51. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0135.

HOW TO CITE: Dr. Vishal Singh*, Shiwanand Sharma, Dr. Jaswandi Mehetre, Dr. Vimal Kumar, Aarti Parab, Aasiya Khan, Current Trends in Drug Therapies for Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 1, 833-840. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14632062